Estimation of tubular differentiation in female Libyan breast cancer
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Abstract

**Background**: We tried to evaluate tubular differentiation in the paraffin sections of 130 Libyan breast cancers to distinguish the less aggressive variants of infiltrating breast cancer from other variants and to found the relationship with clinicopathological features. We also compared our results with corresponding results on Finnish, and Nigerian female breast cancer patients.

**Methods**: Histological samples from 130 patients of Libyan breast cancer were retrospectively studied by estimated the fraction of fields with tubular differentiation (FTD). The samples were screened at x10 magnification and the presence or absence of malignant tubular structures in each microscopic field was registered. They were compared with different clinicopathological features, and patient's survival.

**Results**: The mean (±SD) value of FTD in Libya 23.4±21.6%, was higher than in Nigeria, but lower than reported in European breast cancer. There was statistically significant correlation between the FTD and some clinicopathological features, with the strongest association observed for large tumor (p < 0.0001). There was also correlation between FTD and histological grade (p = 0.001) and lymph node (LN) status (p = 0.007). The correlation with tumor staging was almost significant (p = 0.07).

**Conclusions**: The results indicated that FTD are reliable prognostic indicators in Libyan female breast carcinomas, as they were among Finnish and Nigerian females. The FTD is a good parameter for identifying the aggressive tumor phenotype and provide significant prognostic support. The cut-offs (30% and 50%) might be applied as quantitative criterium for Libyan breast cancer to separate the patients into good, moderate and bad prognosis groups. The survival analysis indicated that short survival time was associated with low FTD values.
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**Background**

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women in the world. It is also the most cause of deaths among female cancers patients [1,2]. Breast cancer is actively studied, but many aspects still remain unclear, including the special features associated with individual countries. The breast cancer prognosis can be evaluated by various features such as tumor size, and lymph node status [3]. The histological grading system is also associated with high prognostic potential [4], but is still subjective, and leaves a large group of patients with unclear prognosis [5]. Quantitative histopathology can be expected to be more reproducible than the subjective methods [6]. Earlier studies in breast cancer have suggested that there are variations in nuclear size features, proliferative and apoptotic activity. It is not well known, however, how these differences are reflected in tubular differentiation.

Many studies have suggested that tubular differentiation could have potential as a prognosticator in different adenocarcinomas [7-9]. Other studies, however, do not agree [10-14]. The aim of this study is to focus on the role of TFD in the evaluation of Libyan breast cancer prognosis and the relation of TFD with clinicopathological features. We found this research attractive because through such a study we could compare Libyan breast cancers with those from Finnish (European) and Nigerian (Central African) patients, after we used same method described by Kronqvist et al., [15] and Ikpatt et al., [21].

**Methods**

The study was performed on paraffin embedded Libyan female breast cancer samples. All cases were diagnosed at the Department of Pathology, African Oncology Institute, Sabratha, Libya, and Tripoli Medical Centre, Tripoli, Libya during the years 2000 - 2006. Patients were excluded from this study on the basis of the following exclusion criteria: histopathology was done elsewhere than in the mentioned study centres, patient history and medical files, or specimens were not found, the follow up was less than 3 months, paraffin blocks were not available for re-cutting. After exclusion of patients 130 patients remained in the study. 115 patients were treated with modified radical mastectomy with axillary clearance. 15 patients were unfit for surgery due to distant metastases;
diagnostic biopsies were used in this study. A detailed history, clinicopathological features (age, menopausal status, tumour size, lymph node status, clinical stage, histological grade, and histological type. The feature values are presented as means with the SD. The p-values refer to significance of difference between the subgroups (based on T test and analysis of variance, ANOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole material</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>23.4 (21.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal (age &lt; 50 years)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25.3 (22.8)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal (age ≥ 50 years)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.5 (19.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5.5 cm</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>27.4 (22.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5.5 cm</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11.3 (12.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node (LN) status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33.3 (24.6)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN+</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>20.8 (20.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early stage (1,2)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27.7 (21.9)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced stage (3,4)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20.8 (21.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40.0 (17.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>26.1 (23.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.1 (16.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>22.6 (20.8)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other types</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25.7 (23.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Fraction of fields with tubular differentiation (FTD) in 130 Libyan histological breast cancer samples, and in different subgroups defined by menopausal status, tumor size, lymph node status, clinical stage, histological grade, and histological type. The feature values are presented as means with the SD. The p-values refer to significance of difference between the subgroups (based on T test and analysis of variance, ANOVA).

was assessed in the whole tumour area. The samples were screened at x10 magnification and the presence or absence of malignant tubular structures in each microscopic field was registered. By this method the field was registered positive if a single undoubtable malignant tubular structure was identified. The final result was the fraction of fields presenting tubular differentiation. This assessment method is especially recommended because it has turned out to be the most efficient and fastest way to evaluate in quantitative terms the tubular differentiation in invasive breast cancer. In a previous study [15] on Finnish material comparing several evaluation methods for tubular differentiation [20], FTD showed out to be the most practical, accurate and reproducible way to determine tubular differentiation in invasive breast cancer. In the evaluations, special emphasis was placed on histological identification of the malignant tubule. The required features for registering a tubule was a clear lumen within a tubular or alveolar pattern created by surrounding malignant epithelial cells. Special consideration was taken to avoid

Histological methods

All biopsy specimens were fixed in buffered formalin, and embedded in paraffin. Sections 5 µm thick were stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain. The histological typing in our study was based on the International Histological Classification of Tumours [18], and grading of tumours was done according the modified Bloom-Richardson histopathological grading system [19].

Tubular differentiation was evaluated in each sample as the fraction of fields showing tubular differentiation (FTD) [15,20]. According to this method tubular differentiation...
counting adipocytes, benign ducts, central necrosis or artefact clefts as malignant tubules. Luminal structures in cribriform malignant epithelium were also not counted.

Statistical analysis

The variables of the material were grouped into logical classes and descriptive statistics calculated for both grouping and continuous variables using SPSS 19.0 for computing. Windows. For survival analysis, Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted, and differences between the curves analyzed using the log-rank test. The FTD cut-off points were tested for association with survival using a log-rank test. Survival analysis was performed with Cox proportional hazard model. Univariate analyses were carried out on all patients and on subgroups classified by menopausal status (pre and postmenopausal) and by axillary lymph node status (node positive N+, node negative N−). To evaluate the independency association of FTD and survival among the other prognosticators, the Cox multivariate regression model was used.

P-values below 0.05 were regarded as significant. Student t-tests and ANOVA were also used to test differences between the groups.

Results

Inter observer variation in estimation of the FTD

First and second authors estimated the fraction of fields with tubular differentiation in 30 samples of Libyan female BCs. Figure 1 shows a strong correlation between two observers. Clearly, there is high reproducibility and with high significance value (P < 0.0001), (Pearson’s correlation 0.91, Kappa statistic=0.55).

Correlation of FTD with the clinicopathological features

The clinical characteristics of the Libyan female BC patients (n = 130) and average estimate of FTD is described in Table 1 and 2. The distributions of the values are shown in Figure 2. The mean fraction of FTD in our material was 23.4% (median 20.0%, standard deviation 21.6%).

There was statistically significant correlation between the FTD and some clinicopathological features, with the strongest association observed for tumor larger than the mean (p < 0.0001). Higher values are also seen in patients with high histological grade, and those of positive lymph nodes and advanced clinical stage with (P values 0.001, 0.007 and 0.07, respectively). The difference in the FTD between invasive ductal carcinoma and other types of breast carcinomas was statistically insignificant (P = 0.46) (Table 1).

Correlation of FTD with the survival outcome

The FTD can identify aggressive tumors and provide significant prognostic support. Kaplan-Meier curves of FTD indicated that better survival time was correlated with high FTD tumors (Figure 3). However, in multivariate analysis (all patients, with SMI, MAI, nuclear area and apoptotic count) FTD was not significant. The cut-offs (30% and 50%) can be considered to represent the most reliable thresholds for classifying patients according to tubular differentiation with (p value = 0.002, and 0.02 respectively) and might be applied as quantitative criterium for Libyan breast cancer to separate the patients into good (≥50), moderate (30-50), and bad prognosis group (<30) (Figure 3). FTD30% thresholds could be detected also in analyses of pre-menopausal patients (Table 2). No statistically significant threshold could be found among the other prognostic subgroups, however, FTD30% was detected as the only almost significant cut off in axillary lymph node positive patients.

Figure 1. Correlation between two observers in estimating FTD in 30 Libyan female breast cancers. Clearly, there is significant correlation (P < 0.0001), (Pearson’s correlation), a little acceptable variation between two observers are seen in upper region, which usually obtained from the cases that have a large sections.

Figure 2. Distribution of FTD values in 130 Libyan female breast cancers. The mean FTD value was 23.43.

Figure 3. Survival curves for 130 Libyan female patients with breast cancer divided by FTD cut points of 30% and 50%. The differences between the curves are highly significant (log-rank test = 0.002, 0.02 respectively) especially after the 40 months of follow-up.
Our results on FTD reflect the same differences between countries in proliferative and apoptotic indices in previous work, although, the significant differences between Libyan and Finnish populations are less obvious.

These differences might be due to the fact that screening programmes were established in European countries for early detection of cancer. The African females came to the hospital in very advanced disease. For example the clinicopathological data of Libyan patients confirmed the aggressive nature characterizing this type of carcinoma. In Libya 49% of cases showed stage III, 80% were with LN metastasis. In the future, after establishment of screening programs in Libya, the cut point might equal that in European population. On the other hand, the FTD difference between Central African, North African and European patients further strengthens our previous suggestion of biological differences and variation in genetic marker distribution between Central and North African, and European populations [16,17,22] may be involved. The variation in the distribution of different genetic marker haplotypes makes this easily understandable. There is a clear difference between the marker haplotype distribution in western central Africa and northern Africa. A similar difference is to be found between North Africa and Europe [22,25]. The variation in haplotype marker distribution has taken place under selective environmental stresses and may be associated with radiation, viral association and lifestyle [25].

Several authors have reported on the prognostic value of the FTD in breast carcinoma [26,7,9]. On the other hand, there are a lot of papers concluding that tubular differentiation lacks prognostic significances and is inferior to the proliferative activity and nuclear size features [10-14,27-29]. The multivariate analysis of the present study, and study of Ikpatt et al., shows that the FTD is a weak prognostic factor in relation to the proliferative and apoptotic indices. Kronqvist et al., [15] did their work by the same methodology and found that the FTD was an independent prognosticator in Finnish breast cancer. The difference in significance was smaller than in proliferative indices.

It is obvious that FTD can be used as a general prognosticator. However, mitotic activity (SMI, standardized mitotic index) is a better general prognosticator than the FTD. But FTD can add some valuable prediction particularly in premenopausal patients, when their tumours are large. The present study suggested two significant cut points for the FTD in Libyan material (30% and 50%) that could separate patients into three subgroups with favourable, intermediate and unfavourable prognosis (Figure 3). These cut points may be more suitable for the Libyan material than the cut points that used by Kronqvist et al., 2000 on Finnish material (23% and 59%).

From among five prognostic markers (MNA, AI, MAI, SMI, and FTD) the SMI and MAI were significant as general lymph node-negative-patients. Table 3 summarizes the relative risks (RRs) of univariate analyses describing the risk of breast cancer death associated with continuous variables in results of the whole material. FTD is a predictor of survival and the patients with high FTD have a lower risk of breast cancer death. In general the FTD as a prognosticator is less efficient than the SMI, MAI, and MNA. In N+ patients the SMI and MAI were the only significant prognosticators in addition to stage. SMI was also of borderline significance among postmenopausal patient (Table 2). Multivariate analysis with FTD, MNA, FTD, AI, MAI, and SMI in all patients showed that the SMI was the only significant prognosticator (p=0.039) (Table 4).

### Discussion

The differences between African and European breast cancers have recently emerged [16,21-24]. This study is a part of our effort to further clarifying the biology of Libyan BC and to comparing our results with the corresponding results of North European, and Central African female BC patients. We also wanted to identify more effective prognostic factors than the traditional grading system to aid therapeutic decision making. In this study it was our intention to study the Libyan breast cancer in respect to tubular differentiation.

The mean value of FTD in Finland was 30.0% (SD 28.2%). This was higher than the Libyan FTD mean value 23.4% (SD 21.6%). In Nigerian material the mean FTD value was 16.7% (SD 19.3%), clearly lower than the Libyan FTD figure [15,21]. The differences in FTD between Libyan and Nigerian tumours and between Libyan and Finnish tumours were significant in the whole material ($p < 0.0001, 0.01$). Basically

### Table 3. Univariate analysis (Cox regression model) of all tested prognostic factors in whole Libyan material (number of patients =130) using the prognostic factors as a continuous variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Risk Ratio</th>
<th>95.0% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAI 0.026</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>1.002-1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMI &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>1.009-1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNA 0.015</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>1.004-1.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI 0.003</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>1.014-1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTD 0.038</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.964-0.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Multivariate analysis (Cox regression model) of all tested prognostic factors in whole Libyan material (number of patients =130) using the prognostic factors as a continuous variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Risk Ratio</th>
<th>95.0% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI 0.504</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>0.978-1.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMI 0.039</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.001-1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAI 0.723</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>0.986-1.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNA 0.134</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>0.996-1.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTD 0.353</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.972-1.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The differences between Central and North African, and European populations further strengthens our previous suggestion of biological differences and variation in genetic marker distribution between Central and North African, and European populations [16,17,22] may be involved. The variation in the distribution of different genetic marker haplotypes makes this easily understandable. There is a clear difference between the marker haplotype distribution in western central Africa and northern Africa. A similar difference is to be found between North Africa and Europe [22,25]. The variation in haplotype marker distribution has taken place under selective environmental stresses and may be associated with radiation, viral association and lifestyle [25].
prognosticators, but the others were not (Table 2,3,4). This seems to be in line to earlier studies on African material [21].

Conclusions
For prognostic purposes, FTD can be used as a prognostic tool, low FDT shows association with aggressive tumour nature, and poor survival. Furthermore, in Libyan patients the FTD (30%, 50%) could be used as thresholds for separation of patients into three groups with good, moderate and poor prognosis. A positive correlation between the FTD and clinicopathological features was observed, and the FTD showed the strongest correlation with tumour size and grade.
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