Life threatening corpus luteal hemorrhage
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Abstract
Ovulation is a physiological event but hemorrhage from corpus luteum is known to occur in reproductive age group women. Life threatening hemorrhage in an unmarried young girl anticoagulated on Warfarin for metallic heart valve is described in this case report. Conservative surgical management in the form ovarian cystectomy was possible in spite of massive hemorrhage. This report also discusses the options of hormonal ovulation suppression in these young girls and their safety.
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Introduction
Ovulation is a physiological monthly event in women of reproductive age. Corpus luteum hemorrhage may occur spontaneously or often triggered by coitus, trauma, exercise, or vaginal examination [1]. The risk of hemorrhagic complications of ovulation starts on the ovulation day and extends throughout corpus luteal life span, which is 14 days without pregnancy. Its presentation is variable depending on the extent of the hemorrhage but it can be massive requiring surgical intervention and blood transfusion. Patients on anticoagulation are at higher risk for significant severe hemorrhage from ruptured corpus luteum [2].

Case presentation
A 16 year old girl presented with dull lower abdominal pain of one week duration associated with vomiting and fever on the day of presentation to the hospital. Her last period was two weeks ago. There were no urinary or bowel symptoms. Her history was significant for mitral valve replacement at 15 months of age for mitral valve dysplasia and sub-aortic membranous obstruction. She was anticoagulated with 6 mg of Warfarin daily. She looked sick and in pain. Her temperature was 38.2°C, pulse 100 beats per minute, and Blood Pressure 110/63 mmHg. She required 5L of Oxygen through facemask because of the differences in ovarian vein architecture [1]. The risk of hemorrhagic complications of ovulation starts on the ovulation day and extends throughout corpus luteal life span, which is 14 days without pregnancy. Its presentation is variable depending on the extent of the hemorrhage but it can be massive requiring surgical intervention and blood transfusion. Patients on anticoagulation are at higher risk for significant severe hemorrhage from ruptured corpus luteum [2].

Case presentation
A 16 year old girl presented with dull lower abdominal pain of one week duration associated with vomiting and fever on the day of presentation to the hospital. Her last period was two weeks ago. There were no urinary or bowel symptoms. Her history was significant for mitral valve replacement at 15 months of age for mitral valve dysplasia and sub-aortic membranous obstruction. She was anticoagulated with 6 mg of Warfarin daily. She looked sick and in pain. Her temperature was 38.2°C, pulse 100 beats per minute, and Blood Pressure 110/63 mmHg. She required 5L of Oxygen through facemask because of the differences in ovarian vein architecture [1]. The risk of hemorrhagic complications of ovulation starts on the ovulation day and extends throughout corpus luteal life span, which is 14 days without pregnancy. Its presentation is variable depending on the extent of the hemorrhage but it can be massive requiring surgical intervention and blood transfusion. Patients on anticoagulation are at higher risk for significant severe hemorrhage from ruptured corpus luteum [2].

Discussion
Corpus luteum rupture is one of the differential diagnoses of “acute abdomen” in women of reproductive age. Although it can occur at any time of life, it is likely to develop in the early period after menarche [3]. Though there are many case series in the literature on corpus luteal bleeding reported in the literature [2,4] corpus luteal bleed related to prosthetic heart valve was discussed only in a few reports [5]. Most of the earlier reports have resorted to options like salpingooophorectomy in the past or medical management [5]. This case report highlights the difficulties in diagnosing especially if they present with fever, possible differential diagnoses and the possibility of conservative surgery (cystectomy) to preserve fertility.

It is described more from the right ovary as it is believed that the recto-sigmoid colon helps protect the left ovary from trauma [4] or it is due to a higher intraluminal pressure on the right side because of the differences in ovarian vein architecture [1]. The accurate diagnosis depends on the clinical presentation, results of the work-up and index of suspicion. Negative pregnancy test is important to exclude ruptured ectopic pregnancy. This patient was a teenager with a mechanical mitral valve on anticoagulation.

Although the incidence of ovulation bleed or corpus luteum hemorrhage in the general population is not known,
the incidence of corpus luteum hemorrhage in teens might be increasing possibly due to sexual intercourse [6]. It also appears that women on anticoagulation tend to suffer more severe hemorrhage [2]. In this population corpus luteum hemorrhage can be fatal in 3% to 11% [6] of cases and may recur in nearly 25% to 31% [1,7], even when INR is still within or below the therapeutic range [2]. In some cases conservative management is possible if the diagnosis is accurate and patient remains stable. Beside close observation, periodic repeat hematological investigations, analgesia, and correction of coagulation, replacement of blood and blood products and cardiopulmonary support might be required. Surgical intervention might be necessary if other causes of acute abdomen are suspected, if patient is unstable, or if the bleeding fails to settle on conservative management in a reasonable time. Laparotomy approach is the standard in unstable patient, although the role and feasibility of laparoscopy in unstable patient with ruptured ovarian cyst is recently described [8]. Laparoscopy is likely to increase as more surgeons are experienced in such situations. Ovarian electro-coagulation, cystectomy, wedge resection, and ovarian reconstruction are conservative methods to secure hemostasis. Women on anticoagulation tend to require oopherectomy more compared to those not receiving anticoagulation [2]. Fortunately resorting to oopherectomy is becoming less as the conservative surgical approach continues to dominate the trend.

Finding a safe, effective, and acceptable method to inhibit ovulation in women on anticoagulation for mechanical heart valve is a challenge. The challenge can be medical or social. Beside the medical challenges, the social taboo attached to the use of contraceptive methods by unmarried women is a big obstacle in some communities. Those challenges highlight the importance of proper individualized counseling.

The increased risk of venous and arterial thrombosis in women using combined oral contraceptives (COC) in the general population have been extrapolated to those women on anticoagulation despite the lack of strong evidence. Though some experts have recommended the use of low-estrogen containing COC to prevent corpus luteum hemorrhage in patients who are well anticoagulated [9] World Health Organization, states that combined contraceptives (oral, injectable, patches and rings) are deemed unsuitable for use in women who are currently anticoagulated [10].

Progestin only methods include progestin-only pills, Depo-Medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA), norethisteroneenantate, levonorgestrel (LNG) or etonogestrel implants and levonorgestrel-Intra-uterine System (LNG- IUS) [10]. Although they are all effective contraceptives; not all are effective ovulation inhibitors. Progestin-only pill like oral desogestrel (Cerazette) consistently inhibits ovulation, whereas norethindrone acetate (Micronor) of 0.35 mg inhibits ovulation only in about 30% of the times [11]. LNG- IUS exerts their contraceptive effects by local mechanisms on the uterus but they don’t inhibit ovulation. Intramuscular injection of DMPA consistently suppresses ovulation [12]. Though loss of bone mineral density and water retention are some of the side effects of DMPA, the former is reversible on stopping the medication and the latter is not a problem in young girls with normal cardiac function. The progestin implants are similar to DMPA in inhibiting ovulation and as far as we know there are no reported concerns at insertion sites like bleeding or hematoma that preclude their use in anticoagulated patients [13,14]. Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone analogs (GnRHa) are another type of ovulation inhibitors. They induce a pseudomenopause status. Some authors used GnRHa with estrogen add-back therapy in a patient who had undergone previous surgeries for corpus luteum hemorrhage [15]. However, long-term use of GnRHa is undesirable because of its related adverse effects and high cost and was not considered for our patient due to her age.

Conclusion
Although significant ovulation related ovarian bleeding is rare in healthy women, it carries a considerable risk to women on anticoagulation. It should be prevented by inhibition of ovulation in those women on anticoagulation who suffered a significant bleed. DMPA seems to be safe and effective to suppress ovulation in those women.
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