Hyperprolactinemia and body weight: prevalence of obesity and overweight in patients with hyperprolactinemia
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Abstract

Background and objectives: Recent studies have shown an association between prolactin (Prl) levels and body weight, with increased prevalence of obesity in patients with prolactinomas and weight loss associated with hyperprolactinemia. However, data on variations in body weight associated with different degrees of hyperprolactinemia remain scarce. This study aimed to evaluate the prevalence of obesity and overweight in patients with hyperprolactinemia of various causes and correlate Prl levels with body mass index (BMI).

Methods: Medical records of patients with hyperprolactinemia were retrospectively reviewed. Patients were classified according to BMI as normal weight (19.0-24.9), overweight (25-29.9), and class I (30-34.9), class II (35-39.9) and class III (> 40) obesity. Disease etiology was divided into microprolactinoma, macroprolactinoma, and other causes for analysis.

Results: Of 138 eligible patients, 52.9% had micro or macroprolactinoma and 65.2% were overweight or obese. Mean patient age was 36.2±13.6 years. Obesity was more prevalent in patients with macroprolactinoma (50%), and overweight in patients with other causes of hyperprolactinemia (37.9%), with no statistical difference between groups. Prl levels were higher in macroprolactinomas, with a statistically significant difference (p<0.001) compared to microprolactinomas and other causes of hyperprolactinemia. Prl was also significantly higher in microprolactinomas than in other causes of hyperprolactinemia (p<0.001).

Conclusions: Prevalence of obesity was significantly high in hyperprolactinemic patients, regardless of the degree of obesity and the cause of hyperprolactinemia. It is important to monitor BMI in patients with elevated Prl levels to introduce measures aiming to maintain an ideal weight and reduce associated comorbidities.
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Introduction

Prolactin (Prl) is a single-chain polypeptide involved in several actions, such as lactation, luteal function, reproduction, appetite, suppression of fertility, homeostasis, osmotic balance, immunity, and coagulation [1,2].

Prl receptor (Prl-R) gene expression has already been described in adipose tissue, and an increase in this expression during lactation has been documented in rats and humans [3,4]. Prl-R-deficient mice have shown reduced abdominal fat and leptin concentration compared to controls [5]. In hamsters, inhibition of Prl secretion by bromocriptine has led to a reduction in fat deposition, without reducing food intake or body weight [2]. In human adipose tissue, Prl suppresses lipid storage and adipokine release and also has a paracrine/autocrine function in relation to adiponectin by binding to its receptors [6-8]. Prl increases the expression of adiponectin receptors, a hormone that is secreted by adipose tissue, increasing insulin sensitivity [9]. In humans, outside pregnancy, Prl secretion is altered by increasing body weight in adults and children. However, no molecular basis has been found which links Prl with increasing body fat, weight and appetite, although some data suggest the involvement of Prl with leptin [10].

In recent years, some studies have shown an increased prevalence of obesity in patients with prolactinomas [11,12], while others have reported weight loss [12-15] or weight gain after treatment of hyperprolactinemia [16,17]. However, there are no studies investigating variations in body weight associated with different degrees of hyperprolactinemia or their relation to the cause of hormone hypersecretion.

This study aimed to evaluate the prevalence of obesity and overweight in patients with hyperprolactinemia, whether or not associated with prolactinomas, and to correlate Prl levels with body mass index (BMI) in hyperprolactinemia of various causes.

Materials and methods

The medical records of consecutive treatment-naïve patients with hyperprolactinemia attending the Center for Neuroendocrinology at Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Brazil, between 2000 and 2010 were retrospectively reviewed. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Institutional Review Board-equivalent) and was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the
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Table 1. Age, body mass index (BMI) and prolactin levels, according to the etiology of hyperprolactinemia (n=138).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Macroprolactinoma (n=46)</th>
<th>Microprolactinoma (n=27)</th>
<th>Other causes (n=65)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>36.6 (±13.6)</td>
<td>34.6 (±12.7)</td>
<td>37.4 (±14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.3 (±7.8)</td>
<td>27.6 (±5.6)</td>
<td>27.8 (±4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline prolactin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>200 (111-379)</td>
<td>75 (39-149)</td>
<td>56 (38-104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum prolactin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>348 (198-1127)</td>
<td>192 (108-260)</td>
<td>76 (46-123)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age and BMI are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and prolactin as median and interquartile range. Different letters indicate statistical difference: a ≠ b (p<0.001); a ≠ c (p<0.001); b ≠ c (p<0.001).

Declaration of Helsinki.

Based on medical record review, 115 patients with prolactinoma and 82 patients with idiopathic or drug-induced hyperprolactinemia, both with negative imaging findings, had sufficient data for inclusion in the analysis.

Patients with prolactinoma were defined as those with significant hyperprolactinemia and pituitary lesions less than 1 cm (microprolactinomas) or greater than or equal to 1 cm (macroprolactinomas) who responded to dopamine agonists (normalization of Prl levels and/or radiographic evidence of significant decrease in lesion size). At the moment of the evaluation, no patient was under dopamine agonist therapy. Hyperprolactinemia was considered as serum Prl greater than the reference value for the method according to sex. Patients with a history of use of drugs that cause hyperprolactinemia and negative imaging findings, were considered as having hyperprolactinemia secondary to drugs, the patients without history of use of drugs causing hyperprolactinemia and negative imaging findings, were considered as patients with idiopathic hyperprolactinemia. Patients were classified according to BMI, as follows: normal weight (BMI 19.0-24.9); overweight (BMI 25-29.9); class I obesity (BMI 30-34.9); class II obesity (BMI 35-39.9); and class III obesity (BMI > 40).

Exclusion criteria were: primary hypothyroidism, polycystic ovary syndrome, chronic renal failure, cirrhosis, adrenal insufficiency, irritative lesions of the chest wall or spinal cord, pregnancy, breastfeeding, nipple manipulation, and physical or emotional stress. Patients receiving medical treatment for overweight or obesity, patients using dopamine agonists, and patients undergoing surgery or radiotherapy for treatment of prolactinoma were also excluded from analysis.

Data on sex, age, weight (kg), height (m), BMI (kg/m²), serum Prl at diagnosis (baseline Prl) and maximum Prl level achieved during the patient’s clinical course (maximum Prl) were evaluated.

Disease etiology was divided into microprolactinoma, macroprolactinoma, and other causes of hyperprolactinemia for analysis.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation, and in the presence of asymmetry, as median and interquartile range. Correlations were evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Spearman rank correlation. The ANOVA was used followed by the Tukey test for multiple comparison. The level of significance was set at 5%. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 17.0.

Results

Of 197 medical records initially reviewed, 138 were eligible and analyzed for this report. Of these, 101 (73.2%) were women and 37 (26.8%) were men, and mean age was 36.2±13.6 years. Regarding the etiology of hyperprolactinemia, 73 (52.9%) patients had prolactinoma – 46 (63%) with macroprolactinoma (31 women, 15 men) and 27 (37%) with microprolactinoma (24 women, 3 men) – and 65 (47.1%) had other causes of hyperprolactinemia (46 women, 19 men). Data on age, BMI, and Prl levels according to the etiology of hyperprolactinemia are described in Table 1. No differences were found in the data analyzed between women and men, and therefore they were analyzed together as a group. The patients diagnosed as having hyperprolactinemia secondary to drugs, the overwhelming majority (82%) was related to neuroleptics and antidepressants.

Regarding body weight, 65.2% of patients were overweight or obese. The number of overweight and/or obese patients, according to the etiology of hyperprolactinemia, is shown in Table 2.

As for the etiology of hyperprolactinemia, there was a positive correlation between baseline and maximum Prl levels and pituitary tumor volume, with macroprolactinomas showing baseline and maximum Prl levels significantly higher than those of microlactinomas and other causes of hyperprolactinemia (p<0.001).

In relation to lipid and glycemic profile, no differences were found between the different groups according to the etiology of hyperprolactinemia and the correlations with prolactin levels. There was a significant but weak correlation of BMI with baseline Prl and maximum Prl (r=0.3), demonstrating that baseline Prl (p=0.014, r=0.21) and maximum Prl (p=0.013, r=0.21) values increased as BMI increased. When analyzed separately according to the cause of hyperprolactinemia, only the macroprolactinoma group showed a significant but moderate correlation between BMI and baseline Prl (p=0.02, r=0.45). See Graph 1 and 2.

A statistically significant difference was also observed between groups classified according to BMI, as follows: baseline Prl – normal weight and class III obese (p=0.047),
Table 2. Number of normal weight, overweight and obese patients, according to the etiology of hyperprolactinemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n=138)</th>
<th>Macroprolactinoma (n=46)</th>
<th>Microprolactinoma (n=27)</th>
<th>Other causes (n=65)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>48 (34.8%)</td>
<td>15 (30%)</td>
<td>11 (40%)</td>
<td>19 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>42 (30.4%)</td>
<td>9 (20%)</td>
<td>8 (30%)</td>
<td>25 (37.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity – class I</td>
<td>24 (17.4%)</td>
<td>9 (20%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>13 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity – class II</td>
<td>16 (11.6%)</td>
<td>7 (16%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>7 (10.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity – class III</td>
<td>8 (5.8%)</td>
<td>6 (14%)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight + obesity</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index.  
Normal weight: BMI 19.0-24.9; overweight: BMI 25-29.9; obesity – class I: BMI 30-34.9; obesity – class II: BMI 35-39.9; obesity – class III: BMI > 40

Graph 1. Correlation between BMI and Baseline Prolactin (macroprolactinoma, microprolactinoma and other causes of hyperprolactinemia).

Graph 2. Correlation between BMI and Maximum Prolactin (macroprolactinoma, microprolactinoma and other causes of hyperprolactinemia).
Discussion

Hyperprolactinemia is one of the most common hypothalamic-pituitary endocrine disorders among women of reproductive age. It often occurs associated with prolactinomas and secondary to the use of centrally acting drugs. In the absence of a known cause, hyperprolactinemia is considered idiopathic [2]. Women were more prevalent in our sample (73.2%), with mean age of 36.27 years. More than half of the patients had prolactinoma as a cause of hyperprolactinemia. In patients with prolactinoma, serum Prl levels are generally proportional to the size of the prolactinoma, with macroprolactinomas exhibiting levels above 250 ng/mL [18]. Regarding drug-induced hyperprolactinemia, Prl values vary greatly and are
likely to reach levels similar to or higher than those reported for macroprolactinomas. Idiopathic hyperprolactinemia usually shows a moderate increase in Prl levels [19]. The overall etiologic distribution and associated Prl levels found in our study are very similar to data already reported in the literature [17,18].

The number of obese people in Brazil continues to increase every year, becoming a serious public health problem. Data from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) show that, in 2006, 42.7% of the population was overweight, increasing to 48.1% in 2010. In Brazil, obesity affected 11.4% of adults in 2006 and 15% in 2010 [20]. In the present study, 34.8% of hyperprolactinemic patients were obese, a 132% higher rate than that described for the general population of our country [20]. In a study describing the association of Prl and weight in 47 patients with prolactinoma, before treatment, over 50% of patients reported weight gain after the disease (11.8±2.0 kg), with mean weight of 83±2.4 kg and BMI of 27.3±0.6 kg/m² [11]. In another study, in which 42 patients with prolactinoma were evaluated and 36 individuals with clinically non-functioning adenomas (NFA) were used as controls, a high prevalence of obesity was also described in prolactinomas [12]. Recent weight gain (8 to 22 kg) was found in 13/42 patients with prolactinoma against only one in the control group. Mean weight was 93±3.4 kg in patients with prolactinoma and 78±2.7 kg in NFA patients. In that study, weight gain and elevated body weight were frequently associated with prolactinomas [12]. In a study involving 22 patients with prolactinoma, with median Prl of 144 ng/mL and BMI of 29.5 kg/m², prevalence of obesity and overweight was 45% and 27%, respectively [14]. In a study evaluating 37 patients with prolactinoma, patients with microprolactinoma had significantly lower initial body weight than those with macroprolactinoma (p=0.006) [17].

In this study, 50% of patients with macroadenomas were obese and 20% were overweight. In patients with microadenomas, 30% were obese and 30% were overweight, and in cases of other causes of hyperprolactinemia, 32.1% of patients were obese and 37.9% were overweight. There was no difference in the incidence or duration of hypogonadism among the groups (microprolactinoma, macroprolactinoma, and other cases of hyperprolactinemia). There was a prevalence of obesity in patients with macroprolactinoma, compared to microprolactinoma and other causes of hyperprolactinemia. In a study of patients with antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia, Prl levels were not correlated with BMI [21]. Likewise, in patients with prolactinoma, no correlation was found between Prl levels and BMI [14]. In a study comparing patients with prolactinoma and NFA, weight gain and elevated body weight were often associated with prolactinomas [12]. In the present study, a significant but weak correlation was found between Prl and BMI in all causes of hyperprolactinemia evaluated.

When examining the possible effect of obesity on the pathogenesis of hyperprolactinemia, which should be considered in cases of idiopathic hyperprolactinemia, spontaneous Prl release has been shown to be significantly elevated in obese women in direct proportion to the size of the visceral fat mass [22]. Because Prl is inhibited by activation of the dopamine D₂ receptor (D₂R), increased Prl secretion may occur due to reduced D₂R availability in the brain, which makes these individuals more likely to have elevated Prl secretion [6]. In a study considering hyperprolactinemia as a result of overweight, elevated Prl secretion in obese women was reported to be significantly reduced after loss of 50% of overweight [22]. In that study, the authors suggested that improvement of deficit D₂R-mediated neurotransmission and/or decreased circulating leptin/estrogen levels might be involved in this phenomenon. Weight reduction, with accompanying decrease in insulin levels, has been shown to lead to a normalization of Prl response in most, but not all, circumstances [10]. In a study with obese subjects, no significant association was found between baseline Prl levels and the degree of obesity in these patients [23]. One year after gastric bypass surgery, and consequent massive weight loss, no significant changes in the concentrations of the hormone were found, suggesting that Prl alone plays no role in the pathophysiology of obesity. Thus, although the magnitude of the effect of weight gain on Prl levels is still controversial, varying degrees of weight gain are commonly observed in patients with prolactinoma and, as reported in the present study, also in patients with other causes of hyperprolactinemia.

The association between Prl, weight gain and obesity suggests that Prl may play a role in the modulation of body weight and composition. However, it remains unclear whether weight gain is associated with hyperprolactinemia due to stimulation of lipogenesis or due to disruption of central nervous system (CNS) dopaminergic tone [24].

In this study, the prevalence of obesity was significantly high, regardless of the degree of obesity, in hyperprolactinemia of various causes. We detected a higher prevalence of obesity in patients with macroprolactinomas, compared to those with microprolactinomas and other causes of hyperprolactinemia. It is therefore important to monitor BMI in hyperprolactinemic patients in order to evaluate the influence of treatment of hyperprolactinemia on these variables and to introduce therapeutic intervention in obesity aiming to reduce associated comorbidities and maintain quality of life.
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