Magnetic resonance evaluation of acute abdominal and pelvic pain in pregnancy
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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of magnetic resonance (MR) in the assessment of pregnant women with acute abdominal and pelvic pain.

Patients and methods: A prospective study was conducted from April 2011 to March 2013 in Security Forces Hospital, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (K.S.A). MRI study of 25 patients presented with acute abdominal and/or pelvic pain in which ultrasound was indeterminate, were included in this study. Multiplanar magnetic resonance images of the pelvis were obtained and independently reviewed by two radiologists with discrepancies was resolved by consensus. Data from both magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography were obtained, and the definite diagnosis was established with laparoscopic or open surgical findings and results of clinical follow-up as the reference standard.

Results: Correlation of prospective clinical MR interpretations with follow-up medical records showed correct identification of disease entities in all patients. The following disease processes were identified using MRI: appendicitis (n = 3), pyelonephritis (n = 3), hydronephrosis (n = 2), pancreatitis (n = 2), uterine fibroid degeneration (n = 4), degeneration and torsion of a subserosal uterine fibroid (n = 2), simple ovarian cysts (n = 3), and ovarian torsion (n = 2), ulcerative colitis (n = 1), Crohn’s disease with perianal fistula (n = 1), and pelvic congestion syndrome (n = 1). Two of the 25 patients had normal findings on MR examinations and unremarkable follow-up.

Conclusion: Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an accurate, useful and safe in detecting the cause of acute abdominal/pelvic pain in pregnant patients.
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Introduction

The term “acute pain” was defined as pain that was sudden in onset and had been present for 72 hr or less [1].

Acute abdominal pain in pregnant patients presents a difficult diagnostic challenge. The differential diagnosis during pregnancy is extensive in that the abdominal pain may be obstetric in nature or may be caused by disease of other intra-abdominal or intra-pelvic structures [2]. It is associated with a long differential diagnosis, and diagnostic imaging is often required to guide management [3].

Because of the anatomic and physiologic changes that occur with pregnancy, localization of disease can be difficult [1].

Computed tomography provides excellent anatomic detail, but exposes patients to ionizing radiation, which can be problematic, as it is conferred to the fetus, making this technique undesirable [1-4].

Sonography is a safe, versatile imaging technique to use in pregnant patients and is often the first imaging technique used in a pregnant patient with abdominal pain. However, in the presence of a gravid uterus, intra-abdominal organs may be displaced and challenging to visualize on ultrasonography, and it might be inconclusive, even when combined with color and pulsed Doppler images [4]. Also, the maternal structures may be difficult to evaluate during pregnancy due to anatomic changes [3].

With its high contrast resolution, its ability to provide good tissue characterization, and its multiplanar imaging capabilities, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is increasingly used to evaluate pelvic pathology [5-6]. This technique has superb soft-tissue contrast, particularly of the gynecologic organs, additional benefits include absence of ionizing radiation and exposure to iodinated contrast material that making it safe to use in pregnant [7].

The aim of our study was to evaluate the efficacy of magnetic resonance (MR) in the assessment of acute abdomen/pelvic pain in pregnant patients.

Patients and methods

Patients presented to the obstetric emergency room of Security Forces Hospital, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (K.S.A) during the period from April 2011 to March 2013 with acute pelvic pain in which ultrasound was indeterminate. The informed consent was obtained from each subject or subject’s guardian, also the institutional review board approval was obtained from Security Forces Hospital Institutional review board.
All patients were subjected to medical history and clinical examination, pelvic ultrasound was the initial screening investigation done for all patients, and MRI. Fetal sonographic examination also was before MRI study for all patients. Ultrasound pelvis was done using ultrasound machine (HD II XE Ultrasound 2006, Philips medical system, Netherlands B.V). A curved array transducer (C5-2, model 21426 A) for trans-abdominal applications was used for all the patients. All patients underwent MRI pelvis using MRI scanner (Optima TM MR 450 W 1.5 Tesla). MRI of the pelvis consisted of the following sequences: three-plane localizer; axial, sagittal and coronal T1-weighted fast spin echo (FSE), axial T2-weighted fast recovery fast spin echo (FRFSE) fat sat. Coronal, and sagittal T2-weighted FRFSE, axial, sagittal and coronal short time inversion recovery (STIR), axial T1-weighted fat-suppressed, axial diffusion-weighted images (b = 0, b = 400 & b = 800 sec/mm²) with fat saturation.

Post contrast axial, coronal and sagittal T1-weighted images with fat saturation were obtained after giving the patient a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg of Gadolinium that was injected automatically at a rate of 2 ml/s.

The nature of the MRI examination and its associated risks and benefits were explained to all patients before the study, and signed consent was obtained from all patients. Medical, surgical, and obstetric records were reviewed to determine the correctness of the clinical MR interpretations.

Statistical analysis
Data was analyzed using commercially available software (statistical package for social sciences, SPSS version 17).

Results
A total of 25 patients were included in our study, the patient age range was 18–37 years (mean age 24.5 years +/- 6.5 years SD) and the mean gestational age was 23 weeks (range, 12–35 weeks).

The following disease processes were identified using MRI: appendicitis (n = 3), pyelonephritis (n = 3), hydrenephrosis (n = 2), pancreatitis (n = 2), uterine fibroid degeneration (n = 4), degeneration and torsion of a subserosal uterine fibroid (n = 2), simple ovarian cysts (n = 3), and ovarian torsion (n = 2), ulcerative colitis (n = 1), Crohn’s disease with perianal fistula (n = 1), and pelvic congestion syndrome (n = 1).

Two of the 25 patients had normal findings on MR examinations and unremarkable follow-up.

In our study, three patients with suspected appendicitis, sonographic examination did not depict the appendix. Ultrasound of one patient revealed minimal free pelvic collection at right iliac fossa. Subsequent MR study was done, in the first 2 patients, MRI revealed acute inflammation of the appendix with minimal peri-appendiceal fat stranding. In the third patient MRI study revealed mild peri-appendiceal fluid collection as well as enlarged appendix (Figure 1). An open appendectomy was done for all our 3 patients. Post operative changes was noted with MRI study in one of these 3 patients (Figure 2).

In our study 2 patients showing moderate hydrenephrosis one of them had distal right ureteric stone causing acute ureteric obstruction. In our study 4 patients showing uterine fibroid degeneration, another 2 patients with acute pelvic pain at 29 and 31 weeks gestation respectively, MRI showed degeneration and...
suspected torsion of a subserosal uterine fibroid in both patients. Ultrasonography revealed a parauterine mass without Doppler flow but did not show the origin of the mass in both patients while MRI study showed a clear connection of the mass to the uterus by a narrow pedicle and normal ovaries in first patient while in the second patients long pedicle is noted.

Laparotomy was done in both patients and revealed a torased subserosal fibroid rotated 360° on a very narrow stalk in one patients and long stalk in the second one. In our study we have 3 cases diagnosed as simple ovarian cyst, US showing simple ovarian cyst, (Figure 3). Doppler study was inconclusive in the 3 cases regarding the diagnosis of torsion as it revealed minimal peripheral flow. MRI study excluded the diagnosis of torsion (Figure 4). Lapraotomy was done for the 3 cases after delivery.

In our study 2 cases diagnosed as inflammatory bowel disease, one was ulcerative colitis and the other one Crohn’s disease with perianal fistula, in both cases, colonic biopsy confirmed the diagnoses. The patient delivered at 34 and 35 weeks respectively because of the premature rupture of membranes. One of our patients showing with engorged bilateral parametrial venous plexus and ovarian veins with MRI study done 5 days after appendectomy suggestive of pelvic congestion syndrome and ovarian vein syndrome (Figures 5a and 5b).

The latter three cases (2 cases inflammatory bowel disease and one case pelvic congestion syndrome) were inconclusive.
by US and were diagnosed by MRI.

**Discussion**

The pregnant patient with acute abdominal or pelvic pain presents a unique diagnostic challenge [1]. One of the challenges facing clinicians is the wide range of differential diagnoses that must be considered when assessing abdominal pain. In conjunction with clinical findings, various imaging modalities play an important role in diagnosing the cause of pain. In patients presenting with acute pain ultrasound is usually employed as the first imaging modality as it is highly sensitive, fast and easy to access. MRI is not usually used in the acute setting but it becomes an important tool in characterization and final diagnosis of abnormalities that remain indeterminate following ultrasound examination [8].

Results of our study show the ability of MRI to accurately characterize pelvic disease in pregnant patients with acute abdominal/pelvic pain. MRI has the ability to evaluate abdominal and pelvic disease [9]. It has been shown to be a useful imaging technique in the diagnosis of appendicitis [10], inflammatory bowel disease [11], pancreatitis, intussusception [1], hydronephrosis and pyelonephritis, uterine fibroids, and adnexal masses [12-13].

The diagnosis of appendicitis is commonly missed in pregnant patients because of many reasons includes: leukocytosis occurs physiologically during pregnancy; nausea and emesis are common symptoms of appendicitis but are common during pregnancy [14] besides that the anatomic alterations in the location of the appendix that accompany an enlarging uterus [1].

Pyelonephritis is important to be excluded in pregnant patients as it associated with premature labor [1].

Hydronephrosis and hydroureter occur in 70–90% of pregnancies because of mechanical obstruction from an enlarged uterus or diminished muscle tone in the urinary tract from hormonal changes associated with pregnancy and patients may develop ureteral obstruction and require ureteral stents or nephrostomy tubes in sever cases [15].

Presentation of pancreatitis in pregnancy is similar to that in non-pregnant patients. Its causes include biliary sludge and gallstones, which are the most common cause of pancreatitis during pregnancy [1-16].

The diagnosis of pancreatitis is usually clinical, however MRI is useful for characterizing complicated pancreatitis. Maternal mortality is low in uncomplicated pancreatitis, but it is greater than 10% in complicated pancreatitis [1].

Fibroids (leiomyoma) are the most common pelvic tumors affecting females in the fertile age group. They occur in 20–40% of females above 30 years of age [17]. As fibroids may cause acute pain, patients may present at the emergency department. Acute pain may be caused by the degeneration of a fibroid when it outgrows its blood supply, torsion of a pedunculated fibroid or prolapse of a submucosal fibroid [9].

Uterine fibroids tend to become smaller during pregnancy, and some involutes completely. However, large fibroids can undergo hemorrhagic infarction, which results in pain and may lead to premature labor [18].

MRI is useful in characterizing uterine fibroids during pregnancy; subserosal fibroids can undergo torsion and degeneration during pregnancy. In our study MRI of 2 pregnant patients showed a subserosal uterine mass with signal intensity denoting a degenerating fibroid [1].

Most adnexal masses in pregnancy are asymptomatic and are discovered incidentally during ultrasonography examination and most of it is non-neoplastic cysts [2].

In our study 3 cases of simple cysts, the MRI excluded the diagnosis of torsion as the ovarian architecture is preserved and no wall thickening and no surrounding edema, so reaching such diagnosis of uncomplicated ovarian mass, make the conservative treatment till delivery and the cyst was treated post partum by laparoscopic cystectomy. So MRI finding that excluded the diagnosis of torsion saved the patient from unnecessary intervention surgery during pregnancy which would have been laparotomy with very high risk of preterm labor and its complication to the mother and fetus. Adnexal torsion is the rotation of at least one turn of the ovaries, adnexa or the fallopian tube around the line of the tubo-ovarian ligament and the infundibulopelvic ligament [19].

Symptoms of ovarian torsion are often nonspecific, making it difficult to differentiate from other causes of acute abdominal pain. The classic presentation includes sharp, localized right or left lower abdominal pain and tenderness with a palpable abdominal mass and peritoneal signs. Waves of nausea and vomiting as well as pyrexia have been observed. In some cases, patients experience intermittent pain, making the diagnosis even more challenging [20].

Torsion must also be considered in pregnant patients presented with sever acute pain because enlargement of the uterus causes a shift in the position of adnexal structures that may then become torsed. The MRI appearance of ovarian torsion MRI appearance is enlarged and edematous solid ovarian tissue [21]. In our 2 cases with ovarian torsion this appearance was observed.

Inflammatory bowel disease, including Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis, is also a diagnostic challenge during pregnancy, because many symptoms typical of pregnancy may also be signs of active inflammatory bowel disease. MRI findings such as bowel wall thickening and mucosal enhancement can be seen in inflammatory bowel disease. Mucosal biopsy is needed to confirm the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis [1].

The limitations of our study include the small study population. Also multiple pathologies included in the study prohibited against obtaining significant results.

**Conclusion**

Our study shows that MRI can be used to identify a wide variety of abdominal and pelvic disease processes in pregnant patients.
with acute abdominal or pelvic pain as it is an efficacious means of diagnosing acute appendicitis, ovarian torsions, and other adnexal diseases in the acute setting.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors’ contributions</th>
<th>YHH</th>
<th>HSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research concept and design</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection and/or assembly of data</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data analysis and interpretation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing the article</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical revision of the article</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final approval of article</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical analysis</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acknowledgement
The authors would like to thank their colleagues for the support and patients for their trust.

Publication history
Editor: Andrea Biscardi, Maggiore Hospital, Italy.
Received: 08-Nov-2013 Accepted: 04-Dec-2013
Published: 19-Dec-2013

References
11. Low RN, Sebrights CP, Politoske DA, Bennett MT, Flores S, Snyder RJ and Pressman JH. Crohn disease with endoscopic correlation: single-shot fast spin-echo and gadolinium-enhanced fat-suppressed spoiled grad-

Citation: