Evaluation of anterior cruciate ligament repair using magnetic resonance imaging
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Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to review the MR imaging findings after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction and to study the value of MRI in assessment of ACL reconstruction using arthroscopy as a gold standnad.

Material and methods: Two radiologists reviewed MRI findings of 32 patients post ACL reconstruction retrospectively. Evaluation of MRI findings includes, primary & secondary sings of graft failure and ACL graft reconstrctoin complications. Arthroscopy study was performed within 15–20 days from MR examination. Our results of MRI were comapred to the result of arthroscopy. The kappa values for interobserver variability were calculated.

Results: A total of 32 male patients were studied, with a mean age of 27 years, Arthroscopy revealed 13 patients with full-thickness ACL graft tears, 8 partial-thickness ACL graft tears and 11 intact ACL grafts. Graft fiber continuity and 100% graft thickness were most valuableprimary sign in diagnosis of intact graft. Complete discontinuous graft was valuable in diagnosis of full-thickness tear. Focal graft thinning was valuable in diagnosis of partial graft tear. Anterior tibial translation and uncovered posterior horn lateral meniscus are secondary sings that helped in differentiating partial or full thickness from intact graft.

Conclusion: We conclude that MRI imaging is a reliable tool for the assessment of ACL reconstruction outcome.
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Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is one of the most commonly performed sports medicine procedures in the United States, with approximately 100,000 procedures performed each year [1]. It is the most commonly reconstructed ligament in the knee. Its clinical evaluation of ACL reconstructions is difficult, and MR imaging plays an important role in evaluating the integrity of the ACL graft, as well as in diagnosing complications associated with ACL reconstruction [2].

Most patients who have undergone primary ACL reconstruction report good to excellent outcomes with regard to stability and return to pre-injury activity level [3-5]. However, poor outcomes after primary ACL reconstruction can generally be classified into one or more of the following categories; Loss of motion, persistent pain, postoperative complications, extensor mechanism dysfunction and recurrent instability [3-6].

Recurrent instability after primary ACL reconstruction has an incidence of 3% to 10% [4,7,8]. One of the most common etiologies of recurrent instability is graft failure [9,10]. Although it can be difficult to isolate one distinct mechanism of graft failure, three different categories have been described: failure of incorporation, suboptimal surgical technique and traumatic re-injury [11].

The indications for evaluating ACL reconstructions with MR imaging include (a) failure of ACL reconstruction to stabilize the knee, (b) postoperative re-injury to the knee, (c) postoperative stiffness especially extension loss (flexion contracture) and (d) preparation for revision of a failed ACL reconstruction, all of which aid the surgeon in preoperative planning [12,13].

The purpose of this study was to study the diagnostic value of MR imaging in assessment of ACL repair comparing the results with arthroscopy of the knee as a gold standard.

Material and methods

A total number of 32 patients were included in the study that conducted from January 2012 to February 2014. The MRI images
of these patients were evaluated on workstation (VEPRO, Germany).

A random retrospective review of the MR images of the 32 patients was completed by two different radiologists who were unaware of the arthroscopic results. All MR imaging sequences and planes for each case were available for radiologists.

Human ethics committee approval for this study was obtained from hospital institutional review board (IRB).

MRI images were assessed primary signs of graft tear includes; diffuse increased ACL graft signal intensity, location of focal increased graft signal if present (proximal, middle, or distal), graft orientation on sagittal images (either taut between femur and tibia or horizontal or lax), complete ACL graft discontinuity, the presence of any ACL graft fiber continuity, and focal graft thinning (100%, 50–99%, or <50% thickness).

Secondary signs of ACL graft tear include the following: anterior tibial translation in which the posterior cortex of mid lateral tibia translated >5 mm anterior to the posterior cortex of the femur on sagittal images, uncovered posterior horn of lateral meniscus (line drawn superior from posterior cortex of lateral tibia intersects the posterior horn of lateral meniscus on sagittal images) posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) hyperbuckling (posterior concavity of PCL on sagittal images), and abnormal posterior PCL line (line tangential to posterior margin of distal PCL does not intersect femur in distal 5 cm on sagittal images).

The indications of post operative MRI examination include

Post operative instability
- Evaluation for meniscus tear, chondral, bone and other ligament injuries. IN PRESS.
- Recurrent knee pain with or without recent trauma.
- Loss of full extension of their knees or developing knee instability.

MRI imaging
All patients underwent MRI knee using MRI scanner (Optima™ MR 450 W 1.5 Tesla).

MR imaging protocols included the following: T1-weighted spin-echo images in sagittal, coronal and axial planes with TR/TE 500–600/18–20 ms, Proton density weighted fast spin-echo images with fat saturation in sagittal and coronal planes with TR/TE 1000–4500/12–17 ms. T2-weighted fast spin-echo images in sagittal planes with TR/TE 2000–4500/100–120 ms. Gradient-echo images in axial planes with flip angle 30_ and TR/TE 30/15.

The echo train length for fast spin-echo images was eight. The number of excitations was one to two. The slice thickness and slice gap for each imaging plane were 3-or 4-mm thick and 1-mm gap for the sagittal plane (except for gradient echo, 1.5-mm thick and 0-mm gap), 4-mm thick and 1-or 0.5-mm gap for the coronal plane, and 10-mm thick and 2-mm gap for the axial plane.

Sagittal MR imaging was performed with the knee in 0–10_ of external rotation to obtain images sagittal to the plane of the ACL.

Femoral and tibial tunnel anatomy

Femoral tunnel
The positioning of the femoral tunnel is the primary factor in maintaining graft isometry and it must be assessed in both the sagittal and coronal planes. In the sagittal plane the tunnel assessed by drawing a line along the posterior cortex of the femur and another line along the roof of the intercondylar notch. The inferior portion of the tunnel should be located at the intersection of these two lines [13–15].

While in the coronal MR image, the intraarticular portion of the femoral tunnel should open at the superolateral posterior margin of the intercondylar notch. If a clock face is superimposed on an coronal MR image with the center at the intercondylar notch, the tunnel should be oriented between 10-and 11-o'clock on the right knee or between 1-and 2-o'clock on the right knee [14,15].

Tibial tunnel
The tibial tunnel sagittal images should be oriented parallel to the Blumensaat line which is a line drawn on conventional radiographs along the intercondylar roof (Figures 1A and 1B).

Figure 1. ACL graft impingement in 40 years old man who presented with limited range of motion.
On Sagittal proton density spin echo fat saturation images (A, B) the tibial bone tunnel, is anterior to the intersection of the slope of the intercondylar roof and the proximal tibia (Blumensaat line). On sagittal T2’ MERGE (C, D), intact graft in the intercondylar notch (2 arrows) with abnormal intermediate signal intensity is seen in the distal portion of the graft. On coronal 3D Fast spin gradient echo Fat saturation (E), the intercondylar notch is show overgrown and impinges on the graft, with fibrous tissue (arrow), due to impingement encases it anteriorly & extends into tunnel.

The distal portion of the tunnel should start near the tibial tuberosity, and the intraarticular opening of the tunnel should be completely posterior to Blumensaat line [14-16].
Normal graft MRI features

1) Low signal intensity on short-TE sequences.
2) Intermediate signal within grafts from approximately 4 to 8 months after reconstruction.
3) Complete resolving by 12 months.

The increased signal is thought to be due to graft revascularization and synovialization [18] (Figures 1C and 1D).

ACL reconstruction complications

The main complications divided into two groups on the basis of clinical symptoms: decreased range of motion and laxity.

Complications leading to decreased range of motion

Impingement

If the tibial tunnel is positioned too far anteriorly (i.e., partially or completely anterior to the intersection of the Blumensaat line, or to its MR equivalent, with the tibia), the graft can become impinged on by the roof of the intercondylar notch [14,16,19] (Figure 1E).

Radiography

MRI will show a malpositioned tibial tunnel (Figures 2A and 2B) and it may show increased signal in the graft on T1-and T2-weighted sequences in the setting of notch impingement [15] (Figures 2C and 2D).

Arthrofibrosis

On MRI, both forms are low signal intensity on T1-weighted sequences and are predominantly low signal on T2-weighted sequences [15,17]. It may be focal or diffuse, the focal form is a more common complication of ACL reconstruction and is seen as a nodule of low signal just anterior to (cyclops lesion) the distal end of the graft between the femur and tibia.

The diffuse form seen as an ill-defined spiculated area of low signal within the Hoffa fat pad or a mass like area of decreased signal anterior and posterior to the graft and can extend to the joint capsule with possible synovial hypertrophy and capsular thickening [13,15].

Cystic degeneration (ganglion cyst formation)

A late complication and usually occurs in the tibial tunnel within the graft and follows fluid signal on all MR pulse sequences [15].

Intraarticular bodies

Composed of articular cartilage, cortical bone, or cancellous bone will be intermediate to low on T2-weighted sequences [20].

Complications leading to laxity

Graft tear

Grafts are most susceptible to injury during the remodeling process, which occurs approximately 4–8 months after surgery [15]. Primary signs include graft signal abnormalities including; increased signal on T2-weighted sequences (Figures 3A and 3B), graft thickness, and fiber discontinuity. Secondary signs include anterior tibial translation and an uncovered posterior horn of the lateral meniscus [21,22].

Graft stretching

This term used to describe intact graft fibers in the clinical setting of increased laxity. MRI findings may include posterior bowing of the graft seen in the sagittal plane (Figure 3C). If the femoral tunnel is placed too far anteriorly, then the graft is subject to increased strain when the knee is flexed, which can lead to graft tightening or stretching [15,23,24].

Miscellaneous lesions

Include complications of the fixation devices, harvest site complications, septic arthritis, and vascular complications [20].

Statistical analysis

Data was evaluated by using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software version 17.
Figure 3. 18-years-old male patient who had undergone ACL reconstruction 11 months earlier, presented with knee pain with normal range of knee extension.

Sagittal Proton Density Fat saturation & Sagittal T2* Merge (A, B), show continuous ACL graft with areas of high signal intensity within it (double arrows), which indicate partial thickness tear of the graft. Note, orientation of the graft approximately parallel to intercondylar roof. On Sagittal PD Fat Sat (C), hyperbuckling of the posterior cruciate ligament seen (arrow).

Retrospective MR imaging results were compared with the arthroscopic results to determine sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of the MRI in assessment ACL graft.

Kappa values for interobserver variability were calculated (0.21–0.40=fair agreement, 0.41–0.60=moderate agreement, 0.61–0.80=substantial agreement, 0.81–1.0=almost perfect agreement).

Results
Patient data
32 Patients were included in this study all patients were males. Youngest patient included in our study was 18 years of age and oldest 54 years of age with the mean age of 27 years.

The average time interval from initial ACL reconstruction surgery to MR imaging examination was less was less than 1 year duration in 13 patients (40.6%) and more than 1 year graft duration in 19 patients (59.4%). Arthroscopy study performed within 15-20 days from MR examination.

Post operative MRI findings
The MR imaging of the cases revealed; primary and secondary signs of ACL graft failure A-The primary signs.

- Diffuse increased signal in the region of the ACL graft on the proton density–weighted images was found in 45% of the full-thickness tears, 55% of partial-thickness tears, and 10% of intact grafts.
- In our study we also found that, the diagnosis of full-thickness graft tear versus partial-thickness tear or intact graft resulted in 50% sensitivity, 70% specificity, 22% positive predictive value, 85% negative predictive value, and 65% accuracy.
- The diagnosis of partial-thickness ACL graft versus full-thickness tear or intact graft resulted in a 43% sensitivity, 72% specificity, 72% positive predictive value, 60% negative predictive value, and 59% accuracy.
- The diagnosis of partial or fullthickness ACL graft tear versus intact graft resulted in a 43% sensitivity, 90% specificity, 92% positive predictive value, 46% negative predictive value, and 59% accuracy.
- The kappa value was 0.40 (moderate agreement) for diffuse increased graft signal.

- Focal increased signal in the ACL graft on proton density–weighted images was detected with 75% of full-thickness graft tears (distal in 25%, middle in 12%, middle and distal in 12%, and proximal in 25%), 28% of partial-thickness tears, and 40% of intact grafts.
- The diagnosis of full-thickness graft tear versus partial-thickness tear or intact graft resulted in a 43% sensitivity, 90% specificity, 92% positive predictive value, 46% negative predictive value, and 59% accuracy.
- The diagnosis of partial-thickness ACL graft versus full-thickness tear or intact graft resulted in 43% sensitivity, 75% specificity, 67% positive predictive value, 41% negative predictive value, and 68% accuracy.
- The diagnosis of partial-thickness ACL graft versus full-thickness tear or intact graft resulted in 28% sensitivity, 44% specificity, 29% positive predictive value, 43%
negative predictive value, and 39% accuracy.
- The diagnosis of partial or full thickness ACL graft tear versus intact graft resulted in 45% sensitivity, 60% specificity, 71% positive predictive value, 33% negative predictive value, and 50% accuracy.
- The kappa value was 0.75 (substantial agreement) for focal increased graft signal.

- *ACL graft orientation*: The orientation of the ACL graft on sagittal images was found to be lax or horizontal in 12.5% of full-thickness graft tears, 43% of partial-thickness tears, and 10% of intact grafts. The diagnosis of full-thickness graft tear versus partial-thickness tear or intact graft resulted in a 13% sensitivity, 71% specificity, 13% positive predictive value, 71% negative predictive value, and 56% accuracy.

- The diagnosis of partial-thickness ACL graft versus full thickness or intact graft resulted in the following: 43% sensitivity, 89% specificity, 75% positive predictive value, 67% negative predictive value, and 69% accuracy.
- The diagnosis of partial or full thickness ACL graft versus intact graft resulted in a 31% sensitivity, 90% specificity, 88% positive predictive value, 38% negative predictive value, and 50% accuracy.
- The kappa value was 0.31 (fair agreement) for lax or horizontal ACL graft orientation.

**ACL graft discontinuity**

- In the sagittal plane, complete graft discontinuity ([Figures 4A and 4B](#)) was seen in 50% of full-thickness tears, 14% of partial-thickness tears, and 10% of intact grafts. In the coronal plane, complete graft discontinuity was seen in 75% of full-thickness tears, 14% of partial-thickness tears, and 0% of intact grafts.
- However, when we use sagittal and coronal planes, complete graft discontinuity was seen in 50% of full thickness graft tears and seen in 0% of partial-thickness tears and intact grafts. Using the coronal plane, the diagnosis of full-thickness graft tear versus partial-thickness tear or intact graft resulted in a 75% sensitivity, 91% specificity, 75% positive predictive value, 91% negative predictive value, and 87% accuracy.
- Using the coronal and sagittal planes, the sensitivity was 50%; specificity, 100%; positive predictive value, 100%; negative predictive value, 86%; and accuracy, 87%.
- When we use coronal plane in the diagnosis of partial-thickness ACL graft versus full-thickness tear or intact graft, the sensitivity was 14%; specificity, 67%; positive predictive value, 25%; negative predictive value, 50%; and accuracy, 44%. Using the coronal and sagittal planes, the sensitivity was 0%; specificity was 78%; positive predictive value was 0%; negative predictive value, 50%; and accuracy, 44%.
- Using the coronal plane in the diagnosis of ACL graft tear either partial or full thickness versus intact graft, the sensitivity in our study was 36%; specificity, 100%; positive

Figure 4. 44-year-old man who had undergone anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction 5 years ago, presented with clinical signs of complete graft failure and instability.

Sagittal T1 WI (A), shows complete discontinuity of fibres of ACL graft (arrow). Sagittal gradient image (B), shows complete discontinuity of the graft, which is replaced with fluid signal. On Sagittal T1 3D image (C), complete disruption of ACF graft fibres and buckling of posterior cruciate ligament well seen (double arrows).
predictive value, 100%; negative predictive value, 42%; and the accuracy was 50%.
- However, using coronal and sagittal planes, the sensitivity was 18%; specificity, 100%; positive predictive value, 100%; negative predictive value, 36%; and accuracy, 44%.
- The kappa value was 0.11 (poor agreement) for complete graft fiber discontinuity in the sagittal plane and 1.00 (almost perfect agreement) for the coronal plane.

ACL graft fiber continuity
In the current study, MRI were evaluated for ACL graft fiber continuity in both sagittal and coronal planes. Our results revealed that coronal plane is outperformed the sagittal plane; the accuracy in diagnosing intact graft or partial-thickness tear was 78% for the sagittal plane and 88% for the coronal plane. In our study, the diagnosis of intact graft or partial-thickness tear versus full-thickness tear resulted in a 92% sensitivity, 75% specificity, 92% positive predictive value, 75% negative predictive value, and 88% accuracy.
- The diagnosis of intact ACL graft versus full-thickness or partial-thickness tear resulted in an 100% sensitivity, 36% specificity, 42% positive predictive value, 100% negative predictive value, and 56% accuracy.
The kappa value was 1.00 (almost perfect agreement) for graft fiber continuity in the coronal plane.

Graft thickness
A 100% graft thickness (no thinning) on coronal MR images in the diagnosis of intact graft versus partial- or full-thickness tear resulted in a 60% sensitivity, 73% specificity, 48% positive predictive value, 81% negative predictive value, and resulted in 68% accuracy.
- A 100% graft thickness (no thinning) on MR images in the diagnosis of intact graft or partial-thickness tear versus full-thickness tear revealed;
  - Using the sagittal plane, sensitivity was 41%; specificity, 100%; positive predictive value, 100%; negative predictive value, 35%; and accuracy, 56%. And in using the MRI coronal plane, the sensitivity was 50%; specificity, 100%; positive predictive value, 100%; negative predictive value, 40%; and accuracy 62%.
  - The kappa value was 0.58 (moderate agreement) for graft thinning in the sagittal plane and 0.74 (substantial agreement) for graft thinning in the coronal plane.

B- MR Imaging of the secondary signs of ACL reconstruction failure as follows;
1. **Anterior tibial translation;** on sagittal images it was present in 25% of the full-thickness graft tears, 57% of partial-thickness tears, and found in 10% of intact grafts.
2. **PCL hyperbuckling;** Hyperbuckling of the PCL (Figures 3C and 4C) on mid sagittal images was found in 37.5% of the full-thickness graft tears, 43% of partial-thickness tears, and found in 30% of intact grafts.
3. **Uncovered posterior horn of the lateral meniscus;** on sagittal images was present in 12.5% of the full-thickness graft tears, 29% of partial-thickness tears, and not seen in intact grafts.

4. **Abnormal PCL line;** on mid sagittal images it was present in 25% of the full-thickness graft tears, 50% of partial thickness tears, and in 30% of intact grafts.

**Graft complication**
- Regarding arthrofibrosis, cyclops lesions diagnosed by MRI in 8 cases, and diffuse arthrofibrosis seen in 12 cases which confirmed by arthroscopy and pathology.
- 14/32 patients showing ACL graft impingement (43.7%), seven of them caused by abnormal anterior placement of the tibial tunnel, 3 cases caused by abutting the ACL graft to the roof of intercondylar notch and four cases by notch osteophyte.
- Tunnel cystic degeneration of the femoral tunnel in 5 cases and the tibial tunnel in 4 cases.
- Four cases with loose body in the anterior knee are diagnosed.
- Meniscal tear seen in 3 cases.
- Harvest site complication seen in 4 cases as patellar fractures.

**Discussion**
The most commonly reconstructed ligament in the knee is the ACL. Its clinical evaluation can be difficult. Post operative ACL graft patients complaining of knee instability and loss of extension or pain are indicated for clinical and radiological examination aiming to diagnose ACL graft failure, ACL graft complication or other internal derangement.

The MR imaging plays an important role in the assessment of ACL graft and diagnosing complications associated with ACL reconstruction.

Retrospective analysis of the primary MR imaging signs of ACL graft tear evaluated in this study revealed that evaluation for graft fiber continuity, complete graft discontinuity, and graft thickness are most valuable of of graft failure and poor outcome.

Our results also showing that identification of continuous graft fibers in the coronal plane can discriminate between intact graft and graft tear either partial or full thickness, as well as between intact or partial-thickness graft tear and full-thickness tear.

ACL graft discontinuity, focal thinning and presence of any intact ACL graft fibers were better assessed in the coronal plane than in the sagittal plane. We noticed that complete discontinuous graft on both sagittal and coronal planes increased specificity and negative predictive value to 100% in the diagnosis of a full thickness graft tear.
In our study, the other primary signs of graft tear were less valuable and we found that increased graft signal is insensitive in diagnosing graft tear, also we found that lax graft fiber orientation is not helpful in diagnosing a tear with sensitivity of 31%.
Retrospective analysis of the secondary signs of ACL graft tear with MR imaging in our study, revealed that anterior tibial translation and uncovered posterior horn of lateral meniscus were more valuable than other secondary signs in discriminating full thickness ACL graft tear from intact graft. However, they had low sensitivity (45%).

While, the other secondary signs were of little values in diagnosis of ACL graft tear having low sensitivity and specificity.

Some authors reported that increased signal intensity of clinically stable ACL grafts increases up to 12 months after ACL reconstruction surgery and then decreases over the subsequent 12 months [25, 26]. This increase of single has been attributed to revascularization and cellular infiltration [27] and also has been considered an indeterminate finding in the assessment of ACL graft integrity [28].

In our study 4 patients with intact graft confirmed at arthroscopy, one of them had diffuse increased signal and two of them had focal increased signal. The patient who had diffuse increased signal had a time interval of 44 months from ACL graft placement to MR imaging, and the other three patients had an average time interval of 26 months.

A longer time interval from ACL graft surgery to MR imaging (36-month average compared with 25-month average for the remaining intact ACL grafts) was noted in 2 patients with focal increased signals.

Our results also revealed relative insensitivity in the detection of a partial-thickness ACL graft tear on MR imaging.

Conclusion
From our study we conclude that MR imaging is a reliable diagnostic tool for evaluation of ACL graft reconstruction poor outcomes including ACL graft failure and complications. Also we conclude that complete ACL graft discontinuity is the most valuable primary sign in the diagnosis of full thickness tear and can discriminate full thickness tear from partial thickness tear and intact graft.
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