Climatic factors, parity and total number of pigs born associated with occurrences and numbers of stillborn piglets during hot or cold seasons in breeding herds
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Abstract

Our objective was to examine interactions between climatic factors and either parity or total number of pigs born for the occurrence of sows having stillborn piglets (SBP) and on the number of SBP, during two seasons. Datasets included 161,299 parity records from 101 herds located in a humid subtropical climate zone; all the sows farrowed either between June and September (hot and humid season) or between December and March (cold season). The climate data were obtained from 21 weather stations located close to the herds. For each parity record, average daily maximum (HT) and minimum temperatures (LT) for the farrowing date and the previous day were coordinated with the performance data. Multilevel logistic regression and Poisson regression models were conducted for whether or not a sow had SBP in a given litter, and for the number of SBP by sows that had farrowed at least one SBP, respectively. The occurrences (±SEM) of sows having SBP during the hot and humid season and during the cold season were 49.6±0.17% and 48.8±0.18%, respectively. For sows that had farrowed at least one SBP, mean SBP was 2.0±0.01 pigs. In the hot and humid season, the occurrences of parity 3 or higher sows having SBP increased by 2.1-2.7% as HT increased from 25 to 30°C (P<0.05), but HT was not associated with the occurrences of parity 1-2 sows having SBP (P=0.73). Also, a sow exposed to 30°C had 0.04 more SBP than one exposed to 25°C (P<0.05). In the cold season, the occurrences of sows having SBP in any parity group increased by 0.9-2.2% as LT decreased from 5 to 0°C (P<0.05). Also, as LT decreased from 5 to 0°C, the occurrences of sows having SBP and the number of SBP for sows that farrowed 16 pigs (90th percentile) increased by 2.7% and 0.09 pigs, respectively (P<0.05). Taking into account parity and total number of pigs born, HT in summer and LT in winter appear to be critical for the occurrence of SBP and for the number of SBP.
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Introduction

Concurrently with selection for increased total number of pigs born, there have also been increases in both the occurrence of sows having stillborn piglets (SBP) and the average number of SBP per farrowing [3,19]. A major cause of SBP is asphyxia or hypoxia, which occurs frequently in dystocia cases [5,6]. A study of piglet deaths recorded as SBP found that 70-75% died during delivery, and the remainder died shortly before, or immediately after farrowing [5]. In practice, SBP in commercial herds are categorized as piglets found dead behind the sow at the first check up after parturition, with no sign of decomposition [9,19].

Both higher parity and more total number of pigs born per sow have been associated with a higher occurrence of a sow having SBP [10,19] or a higher number of SBP [9]. It has also been suggested that high temperature in farrowing units at parturition may be another factor associated with more SBP or more sows having SBP [13,19].

Ambient temperatures measured at local meteorological stations have been shown to be associated with reproductive performance parameters, such as occurrences of returns to service, farrowing rate and gilt age at puberty [2,7,17]. However, no studies have quantified associations between climatic factors (temperature and relative humidity) and either the occurrence...
of a sow having SBP or the number of SBP. Nor have they
examined interactions between climatic factors and either
parity or total number of pigs born in relation to SBP. In ad-
dition to unknown risk of high temperatures on SBP, there is
also no information about the effect of low temperatures on
either the occurrence of a sow having SBP or on the number
of SBP. Therefore, the objective of this study was to examine
and quantify interactions between climatic and production
factors for both the occurrence of a sow having SBP and the
number of SBP, during either hot and humid season or a
cold season.

Materials and methods

Herds

One hundred and eleven pig producers in Japan that use the
PigCHAMP recording system (PigCHAMP, Ames, IA, U.S.A.) were
requested to mail their data files to Meiji University in 2010. By
August 31, 2010, data files were received from 103 breeding
herds located throughout Japan in either humid subtropical
(98 herds) or humid continental climate zones (5 herds). Two
of the herds were excluded from the present study because
they were producing only purebred pigs, so data from 101
commercial breeding herds were used in the study. Natural
or mechanical ventilation was used in the farrowing barns
of these herds. Females in the herds were mainly crossbreds
between Landrace and Large White, either produced within
the herds or they were replacement gilts purchased from
national or international breeding companies. The breeding
stocks in the national breeding companies were originally
imported from the U.S.A or Europe.

Data for herd size and pigs weaned per mated female per
year were abstracted from the 101 herd data files, for three
1-year periods from 2007 to 2009. Mean (+SEM) herd size was
427±57.3 females, ranging between 50 and 3,640 females. Also,
mean pigs weaned per mated female per year was 22.6±0.18
pigs, with a range from 15.8 to 26.4 pigs.

Sow reproductive performance data

Data for sows farrowed between June and September (hot
and humid season) and between December and March (cold
season) in 2007, 2008 and 2009 were extracted from the
PigCHAMP recording system. Two datasets were created for
the present study. One dataset was created for the occur-
rence of a sow having SBP. This dataset contained 81,678
parity records of 57,753 sows farrowed in the hot and humid
season and 79,634 parity records of 55,707 sows farrowed in
the cold season. Records of sows with zero-total pigs born
were excluded (13 records), resulting in a total of 161,299 par-
ity records of sows farrowed in either the hot and humid or
cold season. A second dataset was also created to study the
number of SBP. This dataset omitted the records of females
with zero SBP (81,903 records), and thus comprised 40,539
parity records of sows farrowed in the hot and humid season
and 38,857 parity records of sows farrowed in the cold season.

The sows in these two datasets were categorized into three
parity groups: parities 1 and 2, parities 3 to 5, and parity 6 or
higher. Parities 1 and 2 sows, parities 3 to 5 sows and parity
6 or higher sows represent young sows, mid-aged sows and
aged sows, respectively.

Climate data

Climate data from 2007 to 2009 were downloaded from the
climate statistics of 21 Japan Meteorological Agency weather
stations [8]. The weather stations were located between
latitude 20-45°N and longitude 136-148°E in the prefectural
government office cities of the 21 prefecture districts in Japan
where the studied herds were located. Mean (+SEM) distance
from each herd to the relevant weather station was 44.2±2.71
km, ranging between 1 and 110 km. For each pregnant pig
record, average daily values of maximum temperature (HT),
minimum temperature (LT) and relative humidity on the day
of farrowing and one day before farrowing were coordinated
with that pig’s performance data from the PigCHAMP recording
system. The 2-day period was chosen because it was assumed
that the climatic conditions immediately before farrowing
and during parturition are critical for the occurrence of a
sow having SBP, and for the number of SBP. Producers were
requested to record the farrowing date as the time when all
the placenta had been delivered. Therefore, average values
for the farrowing date and the previous day were used as
peri-farrowing climatic conditions.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using MLwiN software
(MLwiN 2.26). Mixed models were used to account for the
clustering of parity records within a sow and of sows within
a herd. A multilevel logistic regression model with logit link
was applied for binary outcome i.e., whether or not a sow had
at least one SBP in a given litter (1 or 0) [19]. Also, a multilevel
Poisson regression model with loglink was applied for the
number of SBP. The statistical model for the number of SBP
would have had three levels of nested factors. However, initial
analyses showed that compared with the estimated variance
at the herd and sow level, the estimated variance at the
sow level was much lower, and very close to zero (<0.0001).
Therefore, the sow level was omitted from the model for the
number of SBP [20].

Two models were used for the occurrence of a sow hav-
ing SBP and for the number of SBP; one model was for sows
farrowed in the hot and humid season and one was for sows
farrowed in the cold season. The model for sows farrowed in
the hot and humid season included the following factors as
fixed effects: climatic factors of HT and relative humidity, parity
groups and total pigs born. In the model for sows farrowed in
the cold season, LT was used as a fixed effect in place of HT.
The farrowing year was treated as a fixed effect in all models,
and the herd was included as a random intercept. The sow
nested within the herd was also included as a random intercept
in the logistic regression models. Additionally, the quadratic and cubic expressions of the continuous variable factors were examined (i.e., HT, LT, humidity and total number of pigs born), as were the two- and three-way interactions between the fixed effects. A significance level of α=0.05 (Wald's test) was applied in MLwiN. The adequacy of the model assumptions for the random effects and the residuals (in the models that had residuals) was evaluated by visual inspection of normal-probability plots.

### Intraclass correlation coefficient

To assess the variation in the occurrence of a sow having SBP that could be explained by the herd or sow, the intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) were calculated by the following equations [4].

\[
\text{ICC (records within the same herd but different sows)} = \frac{\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}}}{\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}} + \sigma^2_{\text{Sow}} + \left(\pi^2/3\right)}
\]

\[
\text{ICC (records within the same sow)} = \frac{\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}} + \sigma^2_{\text{Sow}}}{\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}} + \sigma^2_{\text{Sow}} + \left(\pi^2/3\right)}
\]

where \(\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}}\) is the between herd variation, \(\sigma^2_{\text{Sow}}\) is the between sow variation, and \(\pi^2/3\) is the assumed variance at the individual record level. The \(\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}}\) value was calculated for a sow that has average values for each climatic factor because \(\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}}\) would vary by changing the climatic factors when the random slope effects at the herd level were significant. Also, with regard to the number of SBP, the ICC (proportion of variance at the herd level) as well as the variances at parity record level: \(\sigma(1)\) and at herd level: \(\sigma(2)\) were calculated by the following equations given by Dohoo et al. [4]:

\[
\text{ICC (records within the same herd)} = \frac{\sigma(2)}{\sigma(2) + \sigma(1)}
\]

\[
\sigma(1) = \exp\left(\beta X + \sigma^2_{\text{Herd}}/2\right)
\]

\[
\sigma(2) = \exp\left(2\beta X + 2\sigma^2_{\text{Herd}}\right) - \exp\left(2\beta X + \sigma^2_{\text{Herd}}\right)
\]

where \(\beta X\) is the predicted mean on a log scale.

### Results

The occurrences (±SEM) of sows having SBP during either the hot and humid season or the cold season were 49.6±0.17% and 48.8±0.18%, respectively (Table 1). Also, mean SBP was 2.0±0.01 pigs for sows that farrowed at least one SBP in either season. Mean (ranges) HT in the hot and humid season and LT in the cold season were 28.4 (13.6 to 39.8)°C and 2.1 (-13.2 to 17.6)°C, respectively. Also, the mean values of relative humidity for the respective seasons were 73.4 (35 to 98)% and 64.8 (25 to 99)%.

The main effects of parity and total number of pigs born were found to be significant for an occurrence of a sow having SBP (P<0.05) and having more SBP in either season (P<0.05; Tables 2 and 3). In both seasons, both a higher parity and a greater total number of pigs born were associated with a higher occurrence of a sow having SBP and with more SBP. For example, the occurrence of sows having SBP was 41.6-42.3% higher in sows that farrowed 16 pigs than in sows that farrowed only 8 pigs; furthermore they had 0.79-0.81 more SBP (P<0.05; Figures 1A and 1B).

In the hot and humid season, there was a two-way interaction between HT and parity groups for an occurrence of a sow having SBP (P<0.05; Table 2). As HT increased from 25 to 30°C the occurrences of SBP in parities 3-5 sows and in parity 6 or higher sows increased by 2.1 and 2.7%, respectively (P<0.05; Figure 2A). However, there was no association between HT and occurrences of parities 1-2 sows having SBP (P=0.73). There was also no two-way interaction between HT and total number of pigs born for the occurrence of sows having SBP (P=0.87). For sows that farrowed at least one SBP, there was an association between higher HT and more SBP (P<0.05). For example, a sow exposed to 30°C had 0.04 more SBP than

### Table 1. Reproductive data for sows in 101 herds farrowed in either hot and humid (June to September) or cold seasons (December to March).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>N(^1)</th>
<th>Mean±SEM</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57,751 sows farrowed in hot and humid season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>81,672</td>
<td>3.4±0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pigs born, pigs</td>
<td>81,672</td>
<td>12.2±0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sows having stillborn piglets, %</td>
<td>81,672</td>
<td>49.6±0.17</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stillborn piglets, pigs(^2)</td>
<td>40,539</td>
<td>2.0±0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55,705 sows farrowed in cold season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>79,627</td>
<td>3.4±0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pigs born, pigs</td>
<td>79,627</td>
<td>12.0±0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sows having stillborn piglets, %</td>
<td>79,627</td>
<td>48.8±0.18</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stillborn piglets, pigs(^2)</td>
<td>38,857</td>
<td>2.0±0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)N represents the total number of parity records.

\(^2\)Omitted data were records of sows with no stillborn piglets.
Table 2. Estimates of climatic factors, other fixed effects and random effect variance included in the final models\(^1\) for the probability of a sow having stillborn piglets and for the number of stillborn piglets\(^2\) during the hot and humid season (June to September).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hot and humid season</th>
<th>Probability of a sow having stillborn piglets</th>
<th>Number of stillborn piglets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed effects (factors)(^3) and variance</td>
<td>Estimate (±SE)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.4213 (0.0392)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum temperature (HT)</td>
<td>0.0014 (0.0041)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (RH)</td>
<td>0.0024 (0.0011)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity groups (Parity)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parities 3 to 5</td>
<td>0.3334 (0.0199)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity 6 or higher</td>
<td>0.9063 (0.0283)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT x Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT x Parity 3 to 5</td>
<td>0.0152 (0.0043)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT x Parity 6 or higher</td>
<td>0.0217 (0.0061)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of pigs born (TPB)</td>
<td>0.2317 (0.0054)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPB-squared</td>
<td>0.0092 (0.0011)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPB-cubed</td>
<td>-0.0003 (0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH x TPB</td>
<td>0.0006 (0.0003)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity x TPB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parities 3 to 5 x TPB</td>
<td>0.0172 (0.0062)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity 6 or higher x TPB</td>
<td>0.0452 (0.0086)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity x TPB-squared</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parities 3 to 5 x TPB-squared</td>
<td>-0.0038 (0.0013)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity 6 or higher x TPB-squared</td>
<td>-0.0069 (0.0018)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept variance at herd level</td>
<td>0.1157 (0.0180)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept variance at sow level</td>
<td>0.1156 (0.0188)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC; records within the same herd), %</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC (records within the same sow), %</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Logistic regression and Poisson regression models were used respectively for the probability of a sow having stillborn piglets and for the number of stillborn piglets.
\(^2\)Omitted data were records of sows with no stillborn piglets.
\(^3\)Reference category was the parities 1 and 2 sow group.

Figure 1. Effect of total pigs born on probability of a sow having stillborn piglets (A) and on the number of stillborn piglets (B), for sows farrowed from June to September. The dotted lines represent the 95% confidence intervals for predicted means.
Table 3. Estimates of climatic factors, other fixed effects and random effect variance included in the final models1 for the probability of a sow having stillborn piglets and for the number of stillborn piglets2 during the cold season (December to March).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed effects (factors)3 and variance</th>
<th>Estimate (±SE) P-value</th>
<th>Estimate (± SE) P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.3920 (0.0414) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.5329 (0.0135) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum temperature (LT)4</td>
<td>-0.0198 (0.0039) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>-0.0052 (0.0013) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity groups (Parity)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parities 3 to 5</td>
<td>0.2663 (0.0171) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.0351 (0.0088) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity 6 or higher</td>
<td>0.8290 (0.0246) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.1908 (0.0105) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT x Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT x Parities 3 to 5</td>
<td>0.0120 (0.0048) 0.01</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT x Parity 6 or higher</td>
<td>0.0076 (0.0063) 0.23</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pigs born (TPB)</td>
<td>0.2193 (0.0044) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.0574 (0.0023) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPB-squared</td>
<td>0.0059 (0.0006) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.0032 (0.0002) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT x TPB</td>
<td>-0.0032 (0.0008) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>-0.0010 (0.0003) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity x TPB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parities 3 to 5 x TPB</td>
<td>0.0196 (0.0058) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.0045 (0.0029) 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity 6 or higher x TPB</td>
<td>0.0445 (0.0081) &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.0200 (0.0034) &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept variance at herd level</td>
<td>0.1354 (0.0208) --</td>
<td>0.0093 (0.0017) --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept variance at sow level</td>
<td>0.1194 (0.0190) --</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraclass correlation coefficient</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ICC; records within the same herd), %</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC (records within the same sow), %</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Logistic regression and Poisson regression models were used respectively for the probability of a sow having stillborn piglets and for the number of stillborn piglets.
2Omitted data were records of sows with no stillborn piglets.
3Reference category was the parities 1 and 2 sow group.

In the hot and humid season, there were two-way interactions between relative humidity and total number of pigs born for the occurrence of a sow having SBP and for the number of SBP (P<0.05). As relative humidity increased from 60 to 85%, the occurrence of a sow that farrowed 16 pigs (90th percentile) having SBP increased by 2.5%; the number of SBP for such sows also increased by 0.10 pigs (P<0.05; Figures 3A and 3B). However, for pigs that farrowed only 8 pigs (10th percentile), relative humidity was not associated with either the occurrence of a sow having SBP (P=0.87) or with the number of SBP (P=0.73). There were no two-way interactions between relative humidity and parity groups for either the occurrence of a sow having SBP (P=0.11) or for the number of SBP (P=0.67). Also, there were no two-way interactions between HT and relative humidity for either the occurrence of a sow having SBP (P=0.41) or for the number of SBP (P=0.24).

In the cold season, there was a two-way interaction between LT and parity groups with regard to the occurrence of a sow having SBP (P<0.05; Table 3). However, there was no such two-way interaction for the number of SBP (P=0.67). The occurrences of sows in any parity group having SBP increased by 0.9-2.2% as LT decreased from 5 to 0°C(P<0.05; Figure 4). Two-way interactions between LT and total number of pigs

one exposed to 25°C (P<0.05; Figure 2B). No two-way interactions between HT and production factors were found for the number of SBP (P≥0.06).

Figure 2. Effect of maximum temperature (HT) on probabilities of sows in different parity groups1,2 having stillborn piglets (A) and on the number of stillborn piglets (B), from June to September. 1The regression coefficients of the HT term for sows in parity 3 or higher differed from zero (P<0.05). 2The regression coefficient of the HT term for sows in parities 1 and 2 did not differ from zero (P=0.73). The dotted lines represent the 95% confidence intervals for predicted means.
born were found for both the occurrence of a sow having SBP and for the number of SBP (P<0.05). As LT decreased from 5 to 0°C, the occurrences of sows that farrowed 16 pigs having SBP increased by 2.7%, and the number of SBP for such sows increased by 0.09 pigs (P<0.05; Figure 5A and 5B). However, LT was not associated with either the occurrence of sows having SBP (P=0.89) or with the number of SBP for sows that farrowed 8 pigs (P=0.94). Also, there were no associations between relative humidity in the cold season and either the occurrence of a sow having SBP (P=0.19) or the number of SBP (P=0.94).

With regard to the ICC, the respective herd and sow effects explained 3.3-3.8 and 6.6-7.2% of the total variation in the occurrence of a sow having SBP in either season. Also, the ICC of SBP was 1.2-1.7% for a sow that had average values for each fixed effect variable.

**Discussion**

The present study is consistent with previous studies showing that higher parity and greater total numbers of pigs born are associated with a high occurrence of a sow having SBP and with a greater number of SBP [3,19]. Our study has also added quantitative information showing that the negative effect of HT on the occurrences of sows having SBP was greatest for parity 6 or higher sows. The greater susceptibility of high parity peripartum sows to high HT could be related to their poor uterine muscle tone [14]. Our results suggest that higher parity sows with poor uterine muscle are likely to have more SBP under high HT conditions. Furthermore, sows under elevated ambient temperature around farrowing also tend to have high cortisol concentrations in the peripheral blood [11], which counteracts the effect of oxytocin that usually stimulates contraction of smooth muscle in the uterus [15]. This would likely cause heat-stressed sows in higher parity to have prolonged parturition, and consequently have SBP due to hypoxia or asphyxia [6].

The present study is the first report to show that lower perifarrowing LT is associated with both a higher occurrence of a sow having SBP and with sows having more SBP. It is possible that sows exposed to low LT in winter could have a longer duration of farrowing that increases the risk of SBP, similar to the effect on heat-stressed sows in the summer season. This finding is supported by a previous study which showed that finishing pigs housed at 5°C had higher plasma cortisol concentrations and higher adrenal weights compared to pigs.
housed at 20°C [12]. Also, our study showed that the negative effects of LT on the occurrences of sows having SBP were greater in low parity sows than in mid-parity sows. The reason for the greater effects in low parity sows could be explained by their immature body and uterus or their narrow birth canal [14]. Furthermore, prolonged or intermittent asphyxia in utero and during delivery weakens piglets and renders them less capable of adapting to cold stress [1]. Therefore, the piglets recorded as SBP would also include piglets that died immediately after farrowing when they were suddenly exposed to fluctuating and cold temperatures [5].

The negative effects of climatic factors on the occurrence of a sow having SBP and on the number of SBP were greater in sows that had a greater total number of pigs born. An increase in the total number of pigs born is directly associated with prolonged farrowing [18]. It appears that sows that have farrowed more pigs are less able to adapt to climatic conditions in either season than sows that have farrowed fewer pigs. Sows that farrowed many piglets were likely to have had prolonged peripartum periods and some of them would have been weary from the prolonged peripartum period or might have dystocia. Additionally, our study indicates that in sows that have farrowed high numbers of pigs in summer, humidity affects both the occurrence of SBP and the number of SBP when the temperature exceeds a certain level. Our finding is consistent with a Thai study showing that a high ambient temperature decreases total number of pigs born in sows when relative humidity is high [16].

It should be noted that in our study the influences of both parity and total number of pigs born on the occurrences of sows having SBP and on the number of SBP were larger than the influence of climatic conditions. Also, the ICC for sow variance (i.e., repeatability) of 6.6–7.2% was relatively low. This low repeatability and the high influence of parity and total number of pigs born on the two SBP variables indicate that it is important to monitor and assist the farrowing process in sows that have farrowed high numbers of pigs in summer, humidity affects both the occurrence of SBP and the number of SBP when the temperature exceeds a certain level. Our finding is consistent with a Thai study showing that a high ambient temperature decreases total number of pigs born in sows when relative humidity is high [16].

Conclusion

Our study shows that high HT and high humidity in summer, and also low LT in winter appear to be critical factors for SBP occurrences and for the number of SBP, especially for high parity sows and for sows that have farrowed more pigs. Therefore, it is recommended that cooling systems are installed to minimize the risks to these females in hot and humid seasons, and that heaters and thick insulation are installed to minimize the risk factors in cold seasons.

The present study is an observational study using commercial herd data and also climate data recorded at meteorological stations. Also, the results could be biased by herd health, nutrition and genotype which were not measured. However, even with such limitations, this research provides valuable information about the relationship between climate and SBP measurements for swine producers and veterinarians.
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