

Journal of Autoimmunity and Cell Responses

ISSN 2054-989X | Volume 1 | Article 3



Review

Open Access

Glatiramer acetate and therapeutic peptide vaccines for multiple sclerosis

Jill Conner

Correspondence: Jill.Conner@tevapharm.com



Global Specialty Medicines, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd., Overland Park, KS, USA.

Abstract

Therapeutic vaccines are antigen-specific agents that inhibit an unwanted immune response to prevent progression of, or eliminate, existing disease. Unlike prophylactic vaccines, developing therapeutic vaccines poses a significant challenge because they can require multimodal immunomodulatory activity to modulate complex pathogenic disease pathways. This is particularly true in autoimmune disorders, including multiple sclerosis, which has complex pathogenesis that, although not fully understood, appears to involve dysfunction of both innate and adaptive immune processes. The glatiramoid, glatiramer acetate (Copaxone®), a nonbiologic, complex, heterogenous mixture of synthetic polypeptides, is currently the only approved therapeutic peptide for treatment of multiple sclerosis. Glatiramer acetate has an enormous number of potentially active epitopes (estimated to be $\sim 10^{30}$) in the polypeptides mixture. The epitopes in glatiramer acetate have not been identified, but they appear to act as altered peptide ligands of encephalitogenic epitopes within myelin basic protein, a suspected autoantigen implicated in multiple sclerosis. Peptide epitopes in glatiramer acetate compete with autoantigens for binding with major histocompatability complex molecules on antigen-presenting cells, thereby altering the functional outcome of T cell signaling from inflammatory to anti-inflammatory responses. Other therapeutic vaccines designed to more selectively compete with myelin antigens for receptor binding have been shown effective in animal models of multiple sclerosis but toxic in human patients in clinical trials. The partially random structure of glatiramer acetate and potentially huge number of antigenic sequences may be integral to safety and efficacy by influencing multifactorial immune processes and surmounting challenges related to inter- and intra-individual heterogeneity of T cell responses and the phenomenon of epitope spreading. Follow-on generic versions of glatiramer acetate have been made available to multiple sclerosis patients outside the United States. Analyses of these glatiramoids indicate they have different biological and immunological activity from that of Copaxone[®], illustrating the difficulty of replicating complex glatiramoids and of developing safe, effective peptide vaccines in general. Reviewed here are some of the major mechanisms of glatiramer acetate activity on innate and adaptive immune pathology and considerations for development of future therapeutic peptide vaccines for multiple sclerosis.

keywords: Autoimmune disease, glatiramer acetate, glatiramoid, multiple sclerosis, peptide, copolymer, therapeutic, vaccine

Introduction

Most vaccines are used for prophylaxis of disease; however, therapeutic vaccination involves the use of an antigen-specific intervention to inhibit an unwanted immune response, with the goal of preventing progression of, or eliminating, existing disease [1]. Effective therapeutic vaccines are less common than preventive vaccines and are more challenging to develop because preventive vaccines typically have immunologic specificity for an individual infective agent (e.g., viral poliomyelitis, smallpox). In contrast, therapeutic vaccines may require multimodal immunomodulatory activity to modulate complex pathogenic disease mechanisms already underway. Suppression of harmful inflammatory immune responses by antigenic peptide vaccination requires induction of tolerance, which can be attained by repeated dosing [2].

There is great interest in developing therapeutic peptide vaccines for treatment of autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis (MS) [3-8]. Synthetic peptides, in principle, could be

© 2014 Jill Conner; licensee Herbert Publications Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0). This permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

designed to present selected epitopes to immune cells to elicit a desired response. MS is a chronic, progressive, neurodegenerative autoimmune disease of the central nervous system (CNS) associated with demyelination and axonal damage. MS is a disease with no identified specific pathogenic target for prophylaxis. The etiology of MS is unknown, and pathogenesis is complex and not fully understood, but appears to include pathologic mechanisms of innate and adaptive immunity working together to exacerbate disease (Figure 1) [9-11]. Therefore, an effective vaccine will optimally modulate both innate and adaptive immune responses. Currently, the glatiramoid, glatiramer acetate (GA, Copaxone®), a non-biologic complex drug (NBCD), is the first and only approved therapeutic peptide for treatment of MS [1,2,12]. Copaxone[®] and the interferon beta (IFNB) drugs (protein products produced by recombinant DNA techniques, including Avonex®, Rebif®, and Betaseron®) were the first disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) approved for treatment of MS. The IFNB drugs have profound activities on several components of the process required for the migration of inflammatory cells into the CNS. These agents have been shown to reduce relapse rate, delay progression of neurologic disability, and decrease brain lesions on MRI in patients with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) [13-16]. Unlike GA activity (described below), a primary mechanism of the IFNB drugs is reduction of inflammatory cell trafficking into the CNS [10,15,16]. More recently, several oral MS treatments have become available, including Aubagio[®] (teriflunomide), Tecfidera® (dimethyl fumarate), and Gilenya® (fingolimod).

That GA is the only therapeutic peptide approved for MS reflects the complexity of the disease and of GA, and the challenges of preparing safe and effective therapeutic peptide vaccines. Reviewed here are some of the key mechanisms of GA activity on innate and adaptive immunity that contribute to its efficacy and safety, and considerations for development of follow-on versions of GA and new peptide vaccines for MS.

Review Glatiramer acetate

Discovery

The immunomodulatory benefits of GA were discovered serendipitously. In the 1970s, researchers at the Weizmann Institute in Israel synthesized a series of amino acid copolymers in hopes of developing synthetic antigens that mimic myelin basic protein (MBP), the main protein component of the lipid-rich myelin sheath and a major autoantigen in MS. The copolymers were designed to reproducibly induce experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of MS. However, rather than induce EAE, GA immunization proved to be protective against EAE induction and reduced symptoms of established EAE in several animal species [17-20].

Chemical nature

GA is a complex, heterogenous, partially random mixture of



synthetic polypeptides containing four naturally occurring amino acids: L-glutamic acid, L-alanine, L-lysine, and L-tyrosine [21]. The polypeptides chains in GA vary in length from 20 to 200 amino acid residues, with an average of approximately 60 residues, and molecules in the colloidal GA sus-pension range in size from 1.5 nm to 550 nm, making GA one of the first true nanomedicines [22,23]. The average molecular weight of the polypeptides in GA is 7000-9000 daltons. GA has an enormous number of potentially active epitopes (estimated to be $\sim 10^{30}$ [24]) in the polypeptides mixture, which may be integral to drug efficacy and safety [25]. However, the complexity of GA makes isolation and identification of the active epitopes in the GA polypeptides mixture impossible. Nevertheless, it is possible to differentiate among glatiramoids (i.e., synthetic copolymer mixtures comprising the four amino acids in GA in a defined molar ratio [22]) in analytical and biological tests (as described below).

Clinical usage

Copaxone[®] is indicated for the treatment of patients with relapsing forms of MS [21]. Copaxone[®] is currently available as a daily 20 mg SC injection (approved in the US in 1996) and recently approved in the US in January 2014 as a 40 mg SC injection administered three times per week.

GA mechanisms of action

Numerous effects of GA on cellular and humoral immune cells have been identified over the last 4 decades, though the complete activity of GA remains unknown and new mechanisms continue to be discovered (Figure 2) [22,25,26]. Perhaps the best-known therapeutic mechanisms of GA activity involve its effect on adaptive immune cells (T and



B lymphocytes). More recently, GA effects on cells of the innate immune system (e.g., antigen-presenting cells [APCs], natural killer [NK] cells) have been discovered.

GA effects on innate immune cells

Antigen-presenting cells: The main functions of APCs are phagocytosis, antigen presentation, and cytokine production. In the peripheral immune compartment, APCs provide three sequential signals to activate antigen-specific T cells:

- 1) Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on activated APCs recognize bacteria, viruses, and other antigens [27,28]. Upon phagocytosis, antigenic peptide fragments bound to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules on the surface of APCs facilitate T cell recognition of the cognate antigen by T cell receptors (TCR) on CD4+ cells (antigen presentation on MHC class II molecules) or CD8+ cytotoxic T cells (antigen presentation by MHC class I molecules);
- 2) Costimulatory molecules on APCs activate the T cells to initiate proliferation and differentiation; and
- Cytokine secretion by APCs polarizes differentiation of activated CD4+ T cells into different effector (T helper Th; e.g., Th1, Th2, Th3, Th17) and regulatory (e.g., CD4+CD25+FoxP3+) T cell subtypes; influences cytotoxic CD8+ T cell phenotypes [29]; and instructs plasma cell differentiation.

In MS, peptide epitopes from putative MS autoantigens, including MBP, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG), and proteolipid protein (PLP) molecules, bind to MHC class II molecules on APCs. Upon T cell recognition, pro-inflammatory (Type I) cytokines are expressed and stimulate differentiation and proliferation of pathogenic autoreactive CD4+ Th1 cells [10,30]. Reciprocally, APCs exposed to pro-inflammatory T cells *in vitro* tend to express a range of adhesion molecules, costimulatory molecules, and cytokines favoring further T cell differentiation of pro-inflammatory Th1 cells. Activated myelin-reactive Th1 cells in the periphery move from the systemic circulation across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and enter the CNS [12]. Once Th1 cells establish an inflammatory milieu there, pro-inflammatory Th17 cells can enter the CNS [31]. Th17 cells play an important role in the pathogenesis of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases [32]. Once in the CNS, antigenic peptide fragments bound to dendritic cells (DCs), microglia, and astrocytes reactivate autoreactive T cells, leading to pro-inflammatory cytokine release and myelin damage.

Circulating APCs of the myelomonocytic lineage, i.e., monocytes/macrophages, and DCs, may be the primary targets of GA immunomodulation. GA activity on monocytes, DCs, and microglia is antigen-nonspecific, which may help explain why GA has shown efficacy in animal models of several inflammatory and neurodegenerative conditions [33-39].

GA polypeptides are promiscuous binders to MHC class II molecules on APCs, with or without antigen processing [40]. GA peptides compete with myelin peptides for binding to MHC class II molecules on APCs and can preferentially displace peptides from MBP [41], MOG [42], and PLP [43] from the MHC binding site, but cannot be displaced by them [44]. As a result, differentiation of autoaggressive Th1 cells is reduced and GA mediates a shift in T cell phenotypes from Th1 to Th2/3 cells.

Monocytes cultured in anti-inflammatory supernatants push T cells toward Th2 differentiation *in vitro*, which is associated with secretion of anti-inflammatory (Type II) cytokines [45]. GA induces Type II monocyte and microglia differentiation in EAE models and in MS patients [45-48]. Adoptive transfer of monocytes from GA-treated mice to GA-naïve mice with EAE directs T cell differentiation toward Th2 cells and CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs, an important subclass of regulatory cells that attenuate autoreactive T cell responses [47].

Continued GA treatment reduces the ability of APCs to present antigen or to respond to various stimuli [**45-49**]. In mice with EAE, GA reduced expression of TLRs on DCs [**50**], and down-regulated osteopontin, a protein implicated in chronic inflammatory diseases that induces DC maturation toward the Type I inflammatory phenotype. In another study, GA inhibited monocyte activation and production of proinflammatory tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α) by human DCs *in vitro* [**48**], and compared with untreated patients, DCs and monocytes from MS patients who received GA for 1 year showed less activation, accompanied by reduced risk of relapse [**51**].

The ability of APCs to penetrate the BBB is essential to the establishment of autoimmune inflammatory CNS diseases. Suppressed expression of chemokines with CNS chemotactic properties may also contribute to GA therapeutic activity [**50**]. Macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1 increases DC transmigration across endothelial cells and is elevated in mice with EAE compared with control mice. Daily GA treatment suppressed MIP-1 α and MIP-1 β expression in mice with EAE, and also decreased expression of RANTES [**50,52**], a poly-

peptide with strong chemoattractant activity for T cells, monocytes and macrophages associated with pathogenesis of MS lesions in the CNS [52].

Attesting to the primacy of GA effects on APCs, researchers showed that GA did not influence T cell polarization when added to naïve Th cells activated in an APC-free system. Moreover, induction of Type II monocytes by GA does not require reciprocal signalling by T cells, [**53**]. In STAT6-deficient mice, which cannot generate interleukin (IL)-4-producing Th2 cells, monocytes from GA-treated mice exhibited increased Type II anti-inflammatory cytokines, IL-10, and transforming growth factor beta (TGF β) [**47**]. Similarly, monocytes from GA-treated RAG-1 mice, which lack mature T and B cells, also showed an anti-inflammatory cytokine pattern [**47**].

Natural killer cells: NK cells are lymphocytes of the innate immune system responsible for immunosurveillance and regulating immune responses [54]. NK cells limit damage in MS by inhibiting autoreactive T cell responses, and increased frequency or functional competence of circulating NK cells is associated with decreased disease activity in MS patients [55]. NK cells exposed to GA kill both immature and mature DCs, impairing the ability of mature DCs to present antigens to autoreactive T cells [54,56,57]. This mechanism may be involved in GA effects on inhibiting graft-vs-host (GvH) disease in laboratory animals [36,58].

GA effect on adaptive immune cells

CD4+T cells: Therapeutic effects of GA have been attributed in part to the ability of antigenic sequences in hydrolyzed GA peptides to act as altered peptide ligands (APLs) of encephalitogenic epitopes in MBP [1,12,59,60]. An APL serves as a receptor ligand that contains a substitute of one or more amino acids of the native ligand to change the functional outcome of TCR signaling [61]. An APL must be close enough in chemical composition to the native peptide antigen to trigger an immune response that is cross-reactive with the infectious agent or autoantigen, but must itself be biologically harmless. Accordingly, GA is cross-reactive with myelin antigens at both the humoral and cellular levels, without being encephalitogenic [62-67]. Repeated GA immunization modifies the GA-reactive T cell repertoire by skewing them from the Th1 phenotype toward the Th2 phenotype [59,66,68,69]. GA-reactive Th2 cells release anti-inflammatory cytokines and neurotrophic factors [69-71] and suppress neighboring auto-aggressive Th1 cells by means of "bystander suppression" in the CNS [68,69]. GA treatment also increases the number and suppressive capacity of CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs in MS patients [72,73]. Tregs dampen autoimmune responses, and are functionally impaired in MS patients [74].

CD8+ *T cells*: Untreated patients with MS initially show low GA-specific CD8+ cell responses compared with healthy controls [**75**], but GA therapy produces proliferative responses in CD8+ T cells [**75**,**76**]. Adoptive transfer of GA-induced CD8+ T cells results in amelioration of EAE [**77**]. GA-reactive CD8+ T cells appear to regulate proliferation of myelin-reactive CD4+ cells [**75**,**78**]. Over time, continued treatment with GA results in decreasing numbers of GA-reactive CD4+ T cells while the number of GA-reactive CD8+ T cells increases [**79**]. It has been proposed that GA effects on CD8+ T cells may be an indispensable component of its therapeutic activity [**77**].

B cells: B cells can serve as efficient APCs for T cells and can activate autoreactive T cells; likewise, activated T cells can trigger B cell activation and formation of autoreactive antibodies [12,80]. Demyelinating antibodies can travel from the systemic circulation across the BBB and into the CNS. Antibody-mediated patterns of demyelination are detected in more than 50% of MS patients [81].

All patients treated with GA develop GA-reactive antibodies [67,82]. As an antigen-based therapy, by definition anti-GA antibodies are not neutralizing and do not appear to interfere with MHC class II binding or induction and proliferation of suppressor T cell lines and clones [82]. GA treatment produces B cell secretion of the Type II cytokine, IL-10, thereby augmenting suppression of autoreactive T cells, and promoting induction of GA-reactive Th2 cells [83]. GA effects on B cells may also be essential to therapeutic activity. Adoptive transfer of B cells from GA-treated mice with active EAE inhibited the proliferation of autoreactive T cells, whereas GA treatment had no effect on EAE in B cell-deficient mice [84]. In a clinical study, relapse-free patients tended to develop higher anti-GA antibody titers than patients who relapsed [67], suggesting beneficial antibody activity. Additionally, remyelination of spinal cord axons was promoted by antibodies to GA in mice with EAE [85].

Anti-GA antibody levels peak between 3 and 6 months of treatment initiation, and then gradually decline, but remain higher than baseline levels [67,82]. Anti-GA antibodies are mainly immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1), IgG2, IgG4, and IgA isotypes [67,82,86,87]. IgG1 antibody levels remain relatively consistent over time. IgG2 antibodies decline with chronic treatment [86] and a gradual shift to IgG1 and IgG4 is observed [87]. GA was not cross-reactive with MBP when exposed to polyclonal antibodies, but cross-reactivity with MBP was evident with monoclonal antibodies, *in vitro* [63]. RRMS patients in clinical trials developed GA-reactive antibodies with very low reactivity to MBP [67,82].

Selective peptide vaccine attempts

Given the APL activity of the random GA mixture, selective APLs were developed with the intent of creating more potent inhibition of autoreactivity. Two APLs of the immunodominant peptide region (83–99) of MBP (alanine was substituted for lysine at position 91 in both cases) were developed for use as therapeutic vaccination for MS. While effective for preventing and treating EAE in laboratory animals, they were less successful for MS patients, primarily due to toxicity problems [**88,89**]. The selective APL, NBI 5788, was evaluated in MS patients randomized to receive once-weekly SC injections of doses ranging from 5 to 50 mg for up to 4 months in a phase Il clinical trial [88]. The study was stopped prematurely when 9% of patients developed immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions. There was a robust Th2-like immune response in NBI 5788-treated patients. Th2 cell responses are associated with the promotion of humoral responses, and anti-NBI 5788 antibody titers were relatively high in patients with hypersensitivity reactions, particularly in patients who received the highest tested dose. Thus, the strength of the Th2 response is an essential consideration in peptide vaccine design; i.e., optimization of the suppression of Th1-related autoimmunity must be balanced against the risk of hypersensitivity reactions driven by Th2 responses [88].

Weekly administration of the APL, CGP77116, was assessed in another small phase II study [89]. Of 8 patients treated with CGP77116, 2 showed improved disease activity, 3 had stable disease, and 3 showed worsened disease activity during and immediately after treatment. CGP77116 was poorly tolerated and the trial was discontinued before completion. An investigation showed activated CGP77116-reactive T cells skewed toward the inflammatory Th1 phenotype rather than Th2, and on-study relapses were ascribed to CGP77116-induced expansion of encephalitogenic T cells specific for MBP₍₈₃₋₉₉₎ [89].

Based on these studies, it was suggested that when relying on TCR- or MHC-mediated therapeutic effects (i.e., MHC blockade, TCR antagonism or partial agonism, clonal deletion or anergy), a single selected APL will be ineffective across individuals with different genetic backgrounds, and even intra-individually because TCR diversity may preclude a consistent therapeutic response [61]. Humans may express up to 8 different class II alleles; consequently, there is considerable diversity in the number of different antigenic peptides that can be presented to T cells among different individuals [61]. Varying autoantigens may be important in different individuals and phenotypic T cell response to an antigen may be heterogeneous. Further, by the time MS becomes clinically evident in humans, it is likely that the immune response has spread to more than one antigen due to "epitope spreading" [61]. Thus, adverse effects seen with NBI 5788 and CGP77116 were likely related to the many clonotypes that exist within and among different patients against the targeted epitope, MBP₍₈₃₋₉₉₎ [90].

Immune dysregulation at multiple levels appears to cause MS, and this may explain an observed resistance to therapeutic modalities with high immune selectivity. GA is a pool of antigenic peptides of different lengths and composition with complex partially random structure and potentially millions of antigenic peptides [24,25]. An antigenic epitope in GA for a distinct TCR would be present at much lower concentration than if the GA structure were defined and not random [61]. GA administration is thought to lead to anergy of T cells for which TCR-binding motifs in GA occur with higher frequency and to persistence or expansion of T cells with TCR-binding motifs in GA that occur with low frequency [61]. With GA, this implies the induction of anergy for Th1/Th0 GA-specific

T cells and persistence/expansion of Th2 GA-specific T cells.

Follow-on glatiramoids-special considerations

The challenge of creating peptide vaccines is exemplified by attempts to create follow-on versions of Copaxone[®]. GA and other NBCDs are heterogenous mixtures of closely related, macromolecular, nanoparticulate components that cannot be entirely characterized physicochemically using available analytical technology [91]. The consistent activity and the quality of NBCDs rely on strictly controlled, proprietary manufacturing procedures [91-93]. Even small variations to the manufacturing method or of ingredient quality used to synthesize GA can increase the risk of safety problems or of reduced therapeutic efficacy [94-96]. While Copaxone[®] is not encephalitogenic and does not induce autoreactive antibodies, the same cannot be assumed for a follow-on GA-like product.

Several purported "generic" GA products have been marketed in countries outside of the United States (US), and generic GA products await US FDA approval at this writing [97,98]. While it is not possible to show that a generic product is the same as Copaxone[®], demonstration of "similarity" may be adequate for generic approval. Thus far, comparisons of physicochemical and biological activity have shown differences between purported generic GA products and Copaxone® [99,100]. A purported generic GA product is currently marketed in India (Glatimer[®], Natco Pharma, Ltd., Hyderabad, India) [99]. No information about the safety, efficacy, or immunogenicity of this product in RRMS patients has been published at this time. However, in analytical tests, Glatimer® has demonstrated physicochemical differences from GA [95,96], and gene expression studies, which provide a "snapshot" of biological processes stimulated by glatiramoid treatment, show Glatimer[®] also has different biologic activities from those of GA [99,100]. In 2 separate studies, activated splenocytes from GA-treated mice showed distinctly different gene transcription profiles when reactivated ex vivo by Glatimer® or GA [99,100]. As previously described, among GA effects on APCs is downregulation of macrophage and monocyte activation, and among GA effects on T cells is skewing T cell differentiation toward a Treg phenotype that limits autoimmune activity [73,101]. Figure 3 shows the relative expression of Treg-specific, macrophage-specific, and monocyte-specific genes in splenocyte samples reactivated by GA compared with samples activated by Glatimer[®] [100]. A cell-type enrichment algorithm showed the gene expression profile produced by splenocytes activated with Glatimer® was significantly enriched in genes associated with macrophages and monocytes compared with the gene expression profile of splenocytes activated by GA. Similarly, compared with GA, the list of genes down-regulated by splenocyte activation with Glatimer® was significantly enriched in genes associated with Tregs. Thus, Glatimer® could have very different immunomodulatory effects than GA. Another important finding of gene expression studies was poor batch-tobatch reproducibility among Glatimer[®] batches (Figure 4) [99,100].

Figure 3. Cell-type specific differences in the biological impact of glatiramer acetate and a purported generic glatiramer acetate-like product. Differential gene expression in mouse splenocytes activated *ex vivo* with GA or a generic product show GA induces higher expression of Treg-associated genes than the generic product, and the generic product induces higher expression of macrophage and monocyteassociated genes [100].

1.000.67-0.33-0.67-0.67-0.67

Jill Conner, Journal of Autoimmunity and Cell Responses 2014, http://www.hoajonline.com/journals/pdf/2054-989X-1-3.pdf

Generic

FoxP3+ T Cells

Macrophages

Monocytes

GA

Differences in gene expression profiles have also been detected among other purported generic GA products, including Probioglat[®] (Probiomed S.A. de C.V.; Mexico City, Mexico [102]), Escadra[®] (MR PHARMA S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina), and a generic product marketed by several companies in China ("Hangzhou") [96]. Progesterone receptor membrane component 1 (PGRMC1) is significantly down-regulated by Probioglat® relative to medium (i.e., the gene expression profile from non-reactivated murine splenocytes), but not by Escadra® or Hangzhou (Figure 5). Progesterone is thought to play a role in myelin repair [103,104] and to affect the balance between Tregs and Th cells [105]. Another relevant gene for MS, IL-1β, was significantly down-regulated by Probioglat® and Escadra[®], but not by Hangzhou (Figure 5). IL-1β is a cytokine associated with Th17 cell development [106]. GA does not down-regulate PGRMC1 [96] and has been shown to significantly reduce IL-1ß levels under chronic inflammatory conditions in vitro [107].



Under current regulatory statutes in the US, the first clinical exposure to generic GA products in MS patients could occur after regulatory approval [108]. Adequate testing of the clinical safety, efficacy, and immunogenicity of uncharacterized follow-on glatiramoid products in MS patients should precede regulatory approval and marketing of these products, given their complexity, uncharacterized epitopes, unknown mechanism of action, and strong immunogenicity. Moreover, the inter- and intra-patient variability of antibody responses to these immunogenic drugs should be assessed [109]. To ensure that the immunologic and immunogenic safety of a generic productare comparable to those of Copaxone[®] will likely require clinical trials in MS patients. Antibody responses to a generic product should establish that anti-drug antibodies do not neutralize drug efficacy or bind to endogenous proteins. Risks of free substitution of a follow-on product for Copaxone® should be established in a clinical cross-over study that provides direct evidence that repeated switching between Copaxone[®] and a generic drug has no negative impact.

Conclusion and future therapeutic peptide vaccines Currently, novel synthetic copolymers designed on the basis of the immunological properties of GA are under study for use in MS [110]. IP-2301, a peptide copolymer currently in pharmaceutical development, has 3 of the same amino acid constituents as GA (tyrosine, alanine, and lysine) but replaces glutamic acid with phenylalanine. This vaccine has been shown



effective for prophylaxis of EAE induction and treatment of established EAE in mice, and weekly administration of IP-2301 was associated with a nonsignificant trend for reducing MRI lesions in MS patients in a 12-week phase I study [110,111].

TCR vaccines are also under investigation for use in MS. Recognition of antigenic peptides bound to MHC molecules is determined by variable region elements of α - and β -chains of TCRs. TCR peptide vaccines were developed with the aim of inducing expansion of regulatory T cells [112]. Vaccination with single and multiple synthetic TCR peptides, designed based on variable-region β -chain (V β) elements preferentially expressed by MBP-reactive T cells, was shown to prevent or reduce EAE symptoms in laboratory animals [113]. In MS patients, TCR vaccination led to high frequencies of peptidespecific T cells that were predominantly of the Th2 phenotype, and reduced T cell responses to MBP [114].

Whether these new peptide vaccines will improve MS patient care remains unknown until they are evaluated in phase III clinical trials. In the meantime, advances in analytical technology and increased understanding of the pathogenesis of MS and other autoimmune diseases should aid development of other new safe and effective peptide vaccines with pleiotropic activity for treatment of heterogenous diseases with multiple pathogenic pathways.

Publication history

Editor: Zhihui Sherry Xie, National Institutes of Health, USA. Received: 28-Jun-2014 Final Revised: 09-Sep-2014 Accepted: 01-Oct-2014 Published: 08-Oct-2014

References

- Sela M and Hilleman MR. Therapeutic vaccines: realities of today and hopes for tomorrow. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101 Suppl 2:14559. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Larche M and Wraith DC. Peptide-based therapeutic vaccines for allergic and autoimmune diseases. Nat Med. 2005; 11:S69-76 | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Fissolo N, Costa C, Nurtdinov RN, Bustamante MF, Llombart V, Mansilla MJ, Espejo C, Montalban X and Comabella M. Treatment with MOG-DNA vaccines induces CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells and up-regulates genes with neuroprotective functions in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Neuroinflammation. 2012; 9:139. | Article | PubMed Abstract | PubMed Full Text
- Fissolo N, Montalban X and Comabella M. DNA-based vaccines for multiple sclerosis: current status and future directions. *Clin Immunol.* 2012; 142:76-83. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Ivanova IP, Seledtsov VI, Seledtsova GV, Mamaev SV, Potyemkin AV, Seledtsov DV and Kozlov VA. Induction of antiidiotypic immune response with autologous T-cell vaccine in patients with multiple sclerosis. Bull Exp Biol Med. 2008; 146:133-8. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Loftus B, Newsom B, Montgomery M, Von Gynz-Rekowski K, Riser M, Inman S, Garces P, Rill D, Zhang J and Williams JC. Autologous attenuated T-cell vaccine (Tovaxin) dose escalation in multiple sclerosis relapsing-remitting and secondary progressive patients nonresponsive to approved immunomodulatory therapies. *Clin Immunol.* 2009; 131:202-15. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Offner H, Sinha S, Wang C, Burrows GG and Vandenbark AA. Recombinant T cell receptor ligands: immunomodulatory, neuroprotective and neuroregenerative effects suggest application as therapy for multiple sclerosis. *Rev Neurosci.* 2008; 19:327-39. | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- 8. Correale J, Farez M and Gilmore W. Vaccines for multiple sclerosis: progress to date. CNS Drugs. 2008; 22:175-98. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 9. Lucchinetti C, Bruck W, Parisi J, Scheithauer B, Rodriguez M and Lassmann H. Heterogeneity of multiple sclerosis lesions: implications

or the pathogenesis of demyelination. Ann Neurol. 2000; 47:707-17. | Article | PubMed

- Noseworthy JH, Lucchinetti C, Rodriguez M and Weinshenker BG. Multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2000; 343:938-52. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 11. Ziemssen T and Ziemssen F. The role of the humoral immune system in multiple sclerosis (MS) and its animal model experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE). Autoimmun Rev. 2005; 4:460-7. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Ziemssen T and Schrempf W. Glatiramer acetate: mechanisms of action in multiple sclerosis. Int Rev Neurobiol. 2007; 79:537-70. | Article | PubMed
- Johnson KP, Brooks BR, Cohen JA, Ford CC, Goldstein J, Lisak RP, Myers LW, Panitch HS, Rose JW and Schiffer RB. Copolymer 1 reduces relapse rate and improves disability in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results of a phase III multicenter, double-blind placebo-controlled trial. The Copolymer 1 Multiple Sclerosis Study Group. Neurology. 1995; 45:1268-76. | PubMed
- Comi G, Filippi M and Wolinsky JS. European/Canadian multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of the effects of glatiramer acetate on magnetic resonance imaging--measured disease activity and burden in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis. European/Canadian Glatiramer Acetate Study Group. Ann Neurol. 2001; 49:290-7. | PubMed
- Mikol DD, Barkhof F, Chang P, Coyle PK, Jeffery DR, Schwid SR, Stubinski B and Uitdehaag B. Comparison of subcutaneous interferon beta-1a with glatiramer acetate in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis (the REbif vs Glatiramer Acetate in Relapsing MS Disease [REGARD] study): a multicentre, randomised, parallel, open-label trial. Lancet Neurol. 2008; 7:903-14. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Cadavid D, Wolansky LJ, Skurnick J, Lincoln J, Cheriyan J, Szczepanowski K, Kamin SS, Pachner AR, Halper J and Cook SD. Efficacy of treatment of MS with IFNbeta-1b or glatiramer acetate by monthly brain MRI in the BECOME study. Neurology. 2009; 72:1976-83. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 17. Sela M. Immunomodulatory vaccines against autoimmune diseases. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2006; **9**:126-33. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Teitelbaum D, Webb C, Meshorer A, Arnon R and Sela M. Suppression by several synthetic polypeptides of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis induced in guinea pigs and rabbits with bovine and human basic encephalitogen. Eur J Immunol. 1973; 3:273-9. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Teitelbaum D, Meshorer A, Hirshfeld T, Arnon R and Sela M.
 Suppression of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis by a synthetic polypeptide. Eur J Immunol. 1971; 1:242-8. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Teitelbaum D, Webb C, Bree M, Meshorer A, Arnon R and Sela M. Suppression of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis in Rhesus monkeys by a synthetic basic copolymer. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol.* 1974; 3:256-62. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 21. Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. Copaxone^{*} (glatiramer acetate injection) prescribing information. 2014. | <u>Website</u>
- Varkony H, Weinstein V, Klinger E, Sterling J, Cooperman H, Komlosh T, Ladkani D and Schwartz R. The glatiramoid class of immunomodulator drugs. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2009; 10:657-68. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 23. Duncan R and Gaspar R. Nanomedicine(s) under the microscope. *Mol Pharm.* 2011; 8:2101-41. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 24. Krull I and Cohen S. The complexity of glatiramer acetate and the limits of current multidimensional analytical methodologies in the attempt to characterize the product. Letter in reference to Citizen Petition FDA-2008-P-0529 to the Dockets Management Brand, FDA, January 16, 2009.
- 25. Jalilian B, Einarsson HB and Vorup-Jensen T. Glatiramer Acetate in Treatment of Multiple Sclerosis: A Toolbox of Random Co-Polymers for Targeting Inflammatory Mechanisms of both the Innate and Adaptive Immune System? Int J Mol Sci. 2012; **13**:14579-605. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- 26. Stapulionis R, Oliveira CL, Gjelstrup MC, Pedersen JS, Hokland ME, Hoffmann SV, Poulsen K, Jacobsen C and Vorup-Jensen T. **Structural**

insight into the function of myelin basic protein as a ligand for integrin alpha M beta 2. J Immunol. 2008; 180:3946-56. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>

- 27. Beutler BA. TLRs and innate immunity. Blood. 2009; 113:1399-407. | Article | PubMed Abstract | PubMed Full Text
- Takeda K and Akira S. Toll-like receptors in innate immunity. Int Immunol. 2005; 17:1-14. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Bassett JD, Yang TC, Bernard D, Millar JB, Swift SL, McGray AJ, VanSeggelen H, Boudreau JE, Finn JD, Parsons R, Evelegh C, Damjanovic D, Grinshtein N, Divangahi M, Zhang L, Xing Z, Wan Y and Bramson JL. CD8+ T-cell expansion and maintenance after recombinant adenovirus immunization rely upon cooperation between hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic antigen-presenting cells. *Blood.* 2011; 117:1146-55. | Article | PubMed
- Gutcher I and Becher B. APC-derived cytokines and T cell polarization in autoimmune inflammation. J Clin Invest. 2007; 117:1119-27. | Article | PubMed Abstract | PubMed Full Text
- O'Connor RA, Prendergast CT, Sabatos CA, Lau CW, Leech MD, Wraith DC and Anderton SM. Cutting edge: Th1 cells facilitate the entry of Th17 cells to the central nervous system during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* 2008; 181:3750-4. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Aharoni R, Eilam R, Stock A, Vainshtein A, Shezen E, Gal H, Friedman N and Arnon R. Glatiramer acetate reduces Th-17 inflammation and induces regulatory T-cells in the CNS of mice with relapsing-remitting or chronic EAE. J Neuroimmunol. 2010; 225:100-11. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Kipnis J, Yoles E, Porat Z, Cohen A, Mor F, Sela M, Cohen IR and Schwartz M. T cell immunity to copolymer 1 confers neuroprotection on the damaged optic nerve: possible therapy for optic neuropathies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97:7446-51. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Benner EJ, Mosley RL, Destache CJ, Lewis TB, Jackson-Lewis V, Gorantla S, Nemachek C, Green SR, Przedborski S and Gendelman HE. Therapeutic immunization protects dopaminergic neurons in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:9435-40. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Butovsky O, Koronyo-Hamaoui M, Kunis G, Ophir E, Landa G, Cohen H and Schwartz M. Glatiramer acetate fights against Alzheimer's disease by inducing dendritic-like microglia expressing insulin-like growth factor 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103:11784-9. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Aharoni R, Teitelbaum D, Arnon R and Sela M. Copolymer 1 inhibits manifestations of graft rejection. *Transplantation*. 2001; 72:598-605. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Frenkel D, Maron R, Burt DS and Weiner HL. Nasal vaccination with a proteosome-based adjuvant and glatiramer acetate clears betaamyloid in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease. J Clin Invest. 2005; 115:2423-33. | Article | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Angelov DN, Waibel S, Guntinas-Lichius O, Lenzen M, Neiss WF, Tomov TL, Yoles E, Kipnis J, Schori H, Reuter A, Ludolph A and Schwartz M. Therapeutic vaccine for acute and chronic motor neuron diseases: implications for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:4790-5. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Schori H, Kipnis J, Yoles E, WoldeMussie E, Ruiz G, Wheeler LA and Schwartz M. Vaccination for protection of retinal ganglion cells against death from glutamate cytotoxicity and ocular hypertension: implications for glaucoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001; 98:3398-403. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Fridkis-Hareli M, Teitelbaum D, Arnon R and Sela M. Synthetic copolymer 1 and myelin basic protein do not require processing prior to binding to class II major histocompatibility complex molecules on living antigen-presenting cells. *Cell Immunol.* 1995; 163:229-36. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 41. Aharoni R, Teitelbaum D, Sela M and Arnon R. Copolymer 1 induces T cells of the T helper type 2 that crossreact with myelin basic protein and suppress experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Proc* Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997; 94:10821-6. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>

Jill Conner, Journal of Autoimmunity and Cell Responses 2014, http://www.hoajonline.com/journals/pdf/2054-989X-1-3.pdf

- Ben-Nun A, Mendel I, Bakimer R, Fridkis-Hareli M, Teitelbaum D, Arnon R, Sela M and Kerlero de Rosbo N. The autoimmune reactivity to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) in multiple sclerosis is potentially pathogenic: effect of copolymer 1 on MOG-induced disease. J Neurol. 1996; 243:S14-22. | Article | PubMed
- Teitelbaum D, Fridkis-Hareli M, Arnon R and Sela M. Copolymer 1 inhibits chronic relapsing experimental allergic encephalomyelitis induced by proteolipid protein (PLP) peptides in mice and interferes with PLP-specific T cell responses. J Neuroimmunol. 1996; 64:209-17. | Article | PubMed
- Fridkis-Hareli M and Strominger JL. Promiscuous binding of synthetic copolymer 1 to purified HLA-DR molecules. J Immunol. 1998; 160:4386-97. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 45. Kim HJ, Ifergan I, Antel JP, Seguin R, Duddy M, Lapierre Y, Jalili F and Bar-Or A. **Type 2 monocyte and microglia differentiation mediated by glatiramer acetate therapy in patients with multiple sclerosis**. *J Immunol*. 2004; **172**:7144-53. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Weber MS, Hohlfeld R and Zamvil SS. Mechanism of action of glatiramer acetate in treatment of multiple sclerosis. Neurotherapeutics. 2007; 4:647-53. | <u>Article | PubMed</u>
- Weber MS, Prod'homme T, Youssef S, Dunn SE, Rundle CD, Lee L, Patarroyo JC, Stuve O, Sobel RA, Steinman L and Zamvil SS. Type II monocytes modulate T cell-mediated central nervous system autoimmune disease. Nat Med. 2007; 13:935-43. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Weber MS, Starck M, Wagenpfeil S, Meinl E, Hohlfeld R and Farina C. Multiple sclerosis: glatiramer acetate inhibits monocyte reactivity in vitro and in vivo. Brain. 2004; 127:1370-8. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 49. Sand KL, Knudsen E, Rolin J, Al-Falahi Y and Maghazachi AA.
 Modulation of natural killer cell cytotoxicity and cytokine release by the drug glatiramer acetate. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2009; 66:1446-56. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Begum-Haque S, Christy M, Wang Y, Kasper E, Ochoa-Reparaz J, Smith JY, Haque A and Kasper LH. Glatiramer acetate biases dendritic cells towards an anti-inflammatory phenotype by modulating OPN, IL-17, and RORgammat responses and by increasing IL-10 production in experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. J Neuroimmunol. 2013; 254:117-24. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Sellebjerg F, Hesse D, Limborg S, Lund H, Sondergaard HB, Krakauer M and Sorensen PS. Dendritic cell, monocyte and T cell activation and response to glatiramer acetate in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* 2013; 19:179-87. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Li QQ and Bever CT. Glatiramer acetate blocks interleukin-1dependent nuclear factor-kappaB activation and RANTES expression in human U-251 MG astroglial cells. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 2001; 87:48-60. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 53. Toker A, Slaney CY, Backstrom BT and Harper JL. Glatiramer acetate treatment directly targets CD11b(+)Ly6G(-) monocytes and enhances the suppression of autoreactive T cells in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Scand J Immunol. 2011; 74:235-43. | Article | PubMed
- Al-Falahi Y, Sand KL, Knudsen E, Damaj BB, Rolin J and Maghazachi AA. Splenic natural killer cell activity in two models of experimental neurodegenerative diseases. J Cell Mol Med. 2009; 13:2693-703. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 55. Segal BM. The role of natural killer cells in curbing neuroinflammation. J Neuroimmunol. 2007; 191:2-7. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Kaur G, Trowsdale J and Fugger L. Natural killer cells and their receptors in multiple sclerosis. Brain. 2013; 136:2657-76. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Hoglund RA, Holmoy T, Harbo HF and Maghazachi AA. A one year follow-up study of natural killer and dendritic cells activities in multiple sclerosis patients receiving glatiramer acetate (GA). PLoS One. 2013; 8:e62237. | Article | PubMed Abstract | PubMed Full Text
- 58. Schlegel PG, Aharoni R, Chen Y, Chen J, Teitelbaum D, Arnon R, Sela M and Chao NJ. A synthetic random basic copolymer with promiscuous binding to class II major histocompatibility complex molecules inhibits

T-cell proliferative responses to major and minor histocompatibility antigens in vitro and confers the capacity to prevent murine graftversus-host disease in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1996; **93**:5061-6. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>

- 59. Aharoni R, Teitelbaum D, Arnon R and Sela M. Copolymer 1 acts against the immunodominant epitope 82-100 of myelin basic protein by T cell receptor antagonism in addition to major histocompatibility complex blocking. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999; 96:634-9. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u> <u>Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Sela M and Mozes E. Therapeutic vaccines in autoimmunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101 Suppl 2:14586-92. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u> <u>Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Bielekova B and Martin R. Antigen-specific immunomodulation via altered peptide ligands. J Mol Med (Berl). 2001; 79:552-65. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 62. Arnon R and Aharoni R. **Mechanism of action of glatiramer acetate** in multiple sclerosis and its potential for the development of new applications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004; **101 Suppl 2**:14593-8. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Teitelbaum D, Aharoni R, Sela M and Arnon R. Cross-reactions and specificities of monoclonal antibodies against myelin basic protein and against the synthetic copolymer 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991; 88:9528-32. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- 64. Webb C, Teitelbaum D, Arnon R and Sela M. In vivo and in vitro immunological cross-reactions between basic encephalitogen and synthetic basic polypeptides capable of suppressing experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. *Eur J Immunol.* 1973; **3**:279-86. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Duda PW, Krieger JI, Schmied MC, Balentine C and Hafler DA. Human and murine CD4 T cell reactivity to a complex antigen: recognition of the synthetic random polypeptide glatiramer acetate. *J Immunol.* 2000; 165:7300-7. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Duda PW, Schmied MC, Cook SL, Krieger JI and Hafler DA. Glatiramer acetate (Copaxone) induces degenerate, Th2-polarized immune responses in patients with multiple sclerosis. J Clin Invest. 2000; 105:967-76. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Brenner T, Arnon R, Sela M, Abramsky O, Meiner Z, Riven-Kreitman R, Tarcik N and Teitelbaum D. Humoral and cellular immune responses to Copolymer 1 in multiple sclerosis patients treated with Copaxone. J Neuroimmunol. 2001; 115:152-60. | Article | PubMed
- Aharoni R, Teitelbaum D, Sela M and Arnon R. Bystander suppression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by T cell lines and clones of the Th2 type induced by copolymer 1. J Neuroimmunol. 1998; 91:135-46. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Aharoni R, Kayhan B, Eilam R, Sela M and Arnon R. Glatiramer acetatespecific T cells in the brain express T helper 2/3 cytokines and brainderived neurotrophic factor in situ. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:14157-62. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Stadelmann C, Kerschensteiner M, Misgeld T, Bruck W, Hohlfeld R and Lassmann H. BDNF and gp145trkB in multiple sclerosis brain lesions: neuroprotective interactions between immune and neuronal cells? Brain. 2002; 125:75-85. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Ziemssen T, Kumpfel T, Klinkert WE, Neuhaus O and Hohlfeld R. Glatiramer acetate-specific T-helper 1- and 2-type cell lines produce BDNF: implications for multiple sclerosis therapy. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Brain. 2002; 125:2381-91. | Article | PubMed
- Haas J, Korporal M, Balint B, Fritzsching B, Schwarz A and Wildemann B. Glatiramer acetate improves regulatory T-cell function by expansion of naive CD4(+)CD25(+)FOXP3(+)CD31(+) T-cells in patients with multiple sclerosis. J Neuroimmunol. 2009; 216:113-7. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 73. Jee Y, Piao WH, Liu R, Bai XF, Rhodes S, Rodebaugh R, Campagnolo DI, Shi FD and Vollmer TL. CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells contribute to the therapeutic effects of glatiramer acetate in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Clin Immunol. 2007; 125:34-42. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 74. Venken K, Hellings N, Broekmans T, Hensen K, Rummens JL and

Stinissen P. Natural naive CD4+CD25+CD127low regulatory T cell (Treg) development and function are disturbed in multiple sclerosis patients: recovery of memory Treg homeostasis during disease progression. *J Immunol.* 2008; **180**:6411-20. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>

- Karandikar NJ, Crawford MP, Yan X, Ratts RB, Brenchley JM, Ambrozak DR, Lovett-Racke AE, Frohman EM, Stastny P, Douek DC, Koup RA and Racke MK. Glatiramer acetate (Copaxone) therapy induces CD8(+) T cell responses in patients with multiple sclerosis. J Clin Invest. 2002; 109:641-9. | Article | PubMed Abstract | PubMed Full Text
- Biegler BW, Yan SX, Ortega SB, Tennakoon DK, Racke MK and Karandikar NJ. Glatiramer acetate (GA) therapy induces a focused, oligoclonal CD8+ T-cell repertoire in multiple sclerosis. J Neuroimmunol. 2006; 180:159-71. | Article | PubMed
- 77. Tyler AF, Mendoza JP, Firan M and Karandikar NJ. CD8 T cells are required for glatiramer acetate therapy in autoimmune demyelinating disease. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e66772. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Tennakoon DK, Mehta RS, Ortega SB, Bhoj V, Racke MK and Karandikar NJ. Therapeutic induction of regulatory, cytotoxic CD8+ T cells in multiple sclerosis. J Immunol. 2006; 176:7119-29. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Allie R, Hu L, Mullen KM, Dhib-Jalbut S and Calabresi PA. Bystander modulation of chemokine receptor expression on peripheral blood T lymphocytes mediated by glatiramer therapy. Arch Neurol. 2005; 62:889-94. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Duddy M and Bar-Or A. B-cells in multiple sclerosis. Int MS J. 2006; 13:84-90. | PubMed
- Kala M, Miravalle A and Vollmer T. Recent insights into the mechanism of action of glatiramer acetate. J Neuroimmunol. 2011; 235:9-17. | Article | PubMed
- Teitelbaum D, Brenner T, Abramsky O, Aharoni R, Sela M and Arnon R. Antibodies to glatiramer acetate do not interfere with its biological functions and therapeutic efficacy. *Mult Scler.* 2003; 9:592-9. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Begum-Haque S, Sharma A, Christy M, Lentini T, Ochoa-Reparaz J, Fayed IF, Mielcarz D, Haque A and Kasper LH. Increased expression of B cellassociated regulatory cytokines by glatiramer acetate in mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Neuroimmunol. 2010; 219:47-53. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Kala M, Rhodes SN, Piao WH, Shi FD, Campagnolo DI and Vollmer TL.
 B cells from glatiramer acetate-treated mice suppress experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Exp Neurol. 2010; 221:136-45. | Article | PubMed
- 85. Ure DR and Rodriguez M. **Polyreactive antibodies to glatiramer acetate** promote myelin repair in murine model of demyelinating disease. *FASEB J.* 2002; **16**:1260-2. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 86. Karussis D, Teitelbaum D, Sicsic C and Brenner T. Long-term treatment of multiple sclerosis with glatiramer acetate: natural history of the subtypes of anti-glatiramer acetate antibodies and their correlation with clinical efficacy. J Neuroimmunol. 2010; 220:125-30. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Farina C, Vargas V, Heydari N, Kumpfel T, Meinl E and Hohlfeld R. Treatment with glatiramer acetate induces specific IgG4 antibodies in multiple sclerosis patients. J Neuroimmunol. 2002; 123:188-92. | <u>Article | PubMed</u>
- Kappos L, Comi G, Panitch H, Oger J, Antel J, Conlon P and Steinman L. Induction of a non-encephalitogenic type 2 T helper-cell autoimmune response in multiple sclerosis after administration of an altered peptide ligand in a placebo-controlled, randomized phase II trial. The Altered Peptide Ligand in Relapsing MS Study Group. Nat Med. 2000; 6:1176-82. | PubMed
- Bielekova B, Goodwin B, Richert N, Cortese I, Kondo T, Afshar G, Gran B, Eaton J, Antel J, Frank JA, McFarland HF and Martin R. Encephalitogenic potential of the myelin basic protein peptide (amino acids 83-99) in multiple sclerosis: results of a phase II clinical trial with an altered peptide ligand. Nat Med. 2000; 6:1167-75. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 90. Katsara M, Matsoukas J, Deraos G and Apostolopoulos V. Towards immunotherapeutic drugs and vaccines against multiple sclerosis.

Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai). 2008; **40**:636-42. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>

- Fluhmann B, Vulto A, Muhlebach S, Weinstein V and Shah VP. The authorization of non-biological complex drugs (NBCDs) follow-on versions: specific regulatory and interchangeability rules ahead? Generics and Biosimilars Initiative Journal (GaBI Journal). 2013; 2:204-207. | Article
- Toblli JE, Cao G, Oliveri L and Angerosa M. Differences between original intravenous iron sucrose and iron sucrose similar preparations. Arzneimittelforschung. 2009; 59:176-90. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Wadhwa M, Skog AL, Bird C, Ragnhammar P, Lilljefors M, Gaines-Das R, Mellstedt H and Thorpe R. Immunogenicity of granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) products in patients undergoing combination therapy with GM-CSF. Clin Cancer Res. 1999; 5:1353-61. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- Ramot Y, Rosenstock M, Klinger E, Bursztyn D, Nyska A and Shinar DM. Comparative long-term preclinical safety evaluation of two glatiramoid compounds (glatiramer Acetate, Copaxone(R), and TV-5010, protiramer) in rats and monkeys. *Toxicol Pathol.* 2012; 40:40-54.
 <u>Article</u> <u>PubMed</u>
- 95. Citizen petition requesting that FDA refrain from approving any abbreviated new drug application referencing Copaxone[®] (glatiramer acetate injection) until certain conditions are met. 11-13-2009.
- 96. Citizen petition requesting that FDA refrain from approving any abbreviated new drug application referencing Copaxone® (glatiramer acetate injection) until certain conditions are met. 2013. | Pdf
- 97. Mylan Inc., NATCO Pharma Ltd. To Collaborate on Worldwide Marketing and Distribution of Generic Copaxone(R). 2014. | Website
- Momenta Pharmaceuticals Announces Filing of Abbreviated New Drug Application With a Paragraph IV Certification for Generic Version of Copaxone. Press release Cambridge, MA. | <u>Website</u>
- Bakshi S, Chalifa-Caspi V, Plaschkes I, Perevozkin I, Gurevich M and Schwartz R. Gene expression analysis reveals functional pathways of glatiramer acetate activation. *Expert Opin Ther Targets*. 2013; 17:351-62. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 100. Towfic F, Funt JM, Fowler KD, Bakshi S, Blaugrund E, Artyomov MN, Hayden MR, Ladkani D, Schwartz R and Zeskind B. Comparing the biological impact of glatiramer acetate with the biological impact of a generic. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e83757. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- 101. Stern JN, Keskin DB, Zhang H, Lv H, Kato Z and Strominger JL. Amino acid copolymer-specific IL-10-secreting regulatory T cells that ameliorate autoimmune diseases in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:5172-6. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- 102. Ruiz SJL, Lopez-Ruiz M, Barroso-Rodriguez N., Cantu-Brito C, Violante-Villanueva A, Hernandez-Hernandez M, Molina-Perez A, Revilla-Beltri J. Safety and pharmacodynamics comparative study to evaluate the effect of glatiramer acetate (Probioglat® and Copaxone®) study drug and reference drug on Th1, Th2 and sVCAM response in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Rev Mex Neuroci* 2013, 14: 306-313. | <u>Article</u>
- 103. Kipp M, Amor S, Krauth R and Beyer C. Multiple sclerosis: neuroprotective alliance of estrogen-progesterone and gender. Front Neuroendocrinol. 2012; 33:1-16. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 104. Schumacher M, Guennoun R, Stein DG and De Nicola AF. Progesterone: therapeutic opportunities for neuroprotection and myelin repair. Pharmacol Ther. 2007; 116:77-106. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 105. Hughes GC, Clark EA and Wong AH. The intracellular progesterone receptor regulates CD4+ T cells and T cell-dependent antibody responses. J Leukoc Biol. 2013; 93:369-75. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- 106. Sims JE and Smith DE. The IL-1 family: regulators of immunity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2010; 10:89-102. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 107. Burger D, Molnarfi N, Weber MS, Brandt KJ, Benkhoucha M, Gruaz L, Chofflon M, Zamvil SS and Lalive PH. Glatiramer acetate increases IL-1 receptor antagonist but decreases T cell-induced IL-1beta in human

monocytes and multiple sclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; **106**:4355-9. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>

- 108. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Section 505 of the FDCA (21 USC § 355). | Pdf
- 109. Nicholas JM. Clinical development, immunogenicity, and interchangeability of follow-on complex drugs. *Generics and Biosimilars Initiative Journal (GaBI Journal)*. 2014; **3**:71-78. | <u>Article</u>
- 110. Fridkis-Hareli M. Design of peptide immunotherapies for MHC Class-II-associated autoimmune disorders. *Clin Dev Immunol.* 2013; 2013:826191. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- 111. Kovalchin J, Krieger J, Genova M, Collins K, Augustyniak M, Masci A, Hittinger T, Kuca B, Edan G, Braudeau C, Rimbert M, Patel U, Mascioli E and Zanelli E. Results of a phase I study in patients suffering from secondary-progressive multiple sclerosis demonstrating the safety of the amino acid copolymer PI-2301 and a possible induction of an antiinflammatory cytokine response. J Neuroimmunol. 2010; 225:153-63. <u>Article | PubMed</u>
- 112. Vandenbark AA, Culbertson NE, Bartholomew RM, Huan J, Agotsch M, LaTocha D, Yadav V, Mass M, Whitham R, Lovera J, Milano J, Theofan G, Chou YK, Offner H and Bourdette DN. Therapeutic vaccination with a trivalent T-cell receptor (TCR) peptide vaccine restores deficient FoxP3 expression and TCR recognition in subjects with multiple sclerosis. *Immunology.* 2008; 123:66-78. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>PubMed Full Text</u>
- Howell MD, Winters ST, Olee T, Powell HC, Carlo DJ and Brostoff SW. Vaccination against experimental allergic encephalomyelitis with T cell receptor peptides. Science. 1989; 246:668-70. | <u>Article</u> | <u>PubMed</u>
- 114. Bourdette DN, Whitham RH, Chou YK, Morrison WJ, Atherton J, Kenny C, Liefeld D, Hashim GA, Offner H and Vandenbark AA. Immunity to TCR peptides in multiple sclerosis. I. Successful immunization of patients with synthetic V beta 5.2 and V beta 6.1 CDR2 peptides. J Immunol. 1994; 152:2510-9. | Article | PubMed
- 115. Brinkmann V, Billich A, Baumruker T, Heining P, Schmouder R, Francis G, Aradhye S and Burtin P. Fingolimod (FTY720): discovery and development of an oral drug to treat multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2010; 9:883-97. | Article | PubMed

Citation:

Conner J. Glatiramer acetate and therapeutic peptide vaccines for multiple sclerosis.

J Autoimmun Cell Response. 2014; 1:3. http://dx.doi.org/10.7243/2054-989X-1-3